ELSEVIER



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Microbiological Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/micres

# The potential of Bacilli rhizobacteria for sustainable crop production and environmental sustainability



B.N. Aloo<sup>a,\*</sup>, B.A. Makumba<sup>b</sup>, E.R. Mbega<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology, Department of Sustainable Agriculture, Biodiversity and Ecosystems Management, P.O. Box 447, Arusha, Tanzania

<sup>b</sup> Moi University, Department of Biological Sciences, P.O. Box 3900, Eldoret, Kenya

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T	
<i>Keywords:</i> Bacillus Soil fertility Sustainable agriculture Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR)	Conventional agricultural practices often rely on synthetic fertilizers and pesticides which have immense and adverse effects on humans, animals and environments. To minimize these effects, scientists world over are now deeply engaged in finding alternative approached for crop production which are less dependent on chemical inputs. One such approach is the use of rhizospheric bacteria as vital components of soil fertility and plant growth promotion (PGP) through their direct and indirect processes in plant rhizospheres. Among the most studied rhizobacteria are the Bacilli, particularly for production of antibiotics, enzymes and siderophores all of which are important aspects of PGP. Despite this, little information is available especially on their potentiality in crop production and their direct application only involves a few species, leaving a majority of these important rhizobacteria unexploited. This paper gives an overview of the unique properties of Bacilli rhizobacteria as well as their different PGP mechanisms that if mined can lead to their successful application and agricultural sustainability. It further points out the missing aspects with regards to these important rhizobacteria that should be	

considered for future research. This information will be useful in analyzing the PGP abilities of Bacilli rhizobacteria with an aim of fully mining their potential for crop production and environmental sustainability.

# 1. Introduction

The demand for food will continue to rise annually and proportionally to the rising population worldwide (Patel and Minocheherhomji, 2018). This will consequently lead to intensification of agriculture for food security and the continued use of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides for maximization of yields (Kumar et al., 2010). As a result, deleterious effects of synthetic pesticides on non-target organisms anddestabilization of ecosystems through pollution will also increase (Yu et al., 2009). Research world over is now directed to alternative environmentally-friendly means of improving crop growth and controlling plant pathogens (Bhattacharyya and Jha, 2012), and one most researched areas is the exploitation of plant-microbe associations to develop sustainable crop production systems (Naqqash et al., 2016).

Plant rhizospheres are special environments with complex plant root-soil microbes interactions (Jha et al., 2013). These complex interactions are propounded to follow roots exudations which serve to attract beneficial soil bacteria to the plant roots (Bhattacharyya et al., 2016; Mhlongo et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2017) and as a result, plant rhizospheres support a large number of bacteria which are commonly referred to as plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) or benficial rhizobacteria (Bhattacharyya and Jha, 2012; Raza et al., 2016). Evidence susggests that rhizobacteria are capable of enhancing plant growth either directly or indirectly through multifarious ways (Patel and Minocheherhomji, 2018; Raza et al., 2016), including nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) fixation, nutrient solubilization and biosynthesis of phytohormones, antibiotics, hydrolytic enzymes, siderophores and induced systematic resistance (ISR) in plants to their pathogens (Beneduzi et al., 2012; García-Fraile et al., 2015; Gupta et al., 2015). Rhizobacteria which contribute to PGP through enhanced nutrient availability and N2 fixation, phosphorous solubilization or iron acquisition are commonly referred to as biofertilizers (Kuan et al., 2016; Sharma et al., 2013). It is evidenced that such rhizobacteria are very useful in mobilization and solubilization of soil nutrients compared to their non-rhizospheric counterparts (Hayat et al., 2010), and are therefore very critical in redressing soil fertility (Glick, 2012). Rhizobacteria that contribute to suppression of plant pathogens by antagonism and competition are referred to as biocontrol agents or biopesticides (Beneduzi et al., 2012; Chowdhury et al., 2013), while those which contribute to degradation

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: aloob@nm-aist.ac.tz (B.N. Aloo).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2018.10.011

Received 21 July 2018; Received in revised form 24 October 2018; Accepted 31 October 2018 Available online 02 November 2018 0944-5013/ © 2018 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved. of organic pollutants and reduction of metal toxicity in contaminated soils are called bio remediators, rhizo-remediators or phytoremediators (Goswami et al., 2016). Similarly, rhizobacteria that produce phytohormones such as IAA, ethylene, GA and others are collectively referred to as phytostimulators (Somers et al., 2004). Endophytic rhizobacterial strains colonize plant root tissues internally (Verma et al., 2010), while the external types occur on the exterior portions of plant roots (Ilangumaran and Smith, 2017; Martinez-Viveros et al., 2010). As opposed to their external counterparts, endophytic rhizobacteria have been shown to be better candidates for plant growth promotion because of the intimate relationships they form with plant root tissues (Castanheira et al., 2017; Souza et al., 2015).

Rhizobacterial-based technologies have been investigated for their use as alternatives to synthetic fertilizers for sustainable crop production (Patel and Minocheherhomji, 2018). Some commonly studied rhizobacteria include Pseudomonas, Azospirillum, Azotobacter, Enterobacter, Arthrobacter, Alcaligenes, Bacillus, Serratia, Burkholderia, Acinetobacter and Klebsiella, all of which are reviewed by Bhattacharyya and Jha (2012) and Adesemove et al. (2017). Their potential has been illustrated in several crops including wheat (Govindasamy et al., 2014), bean (Stefan et al., 2013), potato (Dawwam et al., 2013), maize (Krey et al., 2013), cucumber (Islam et al., 2016), and many others (Hayat et al., 2010). All these studies have demonstrated that PGPR-based formulations can improve different attributes of plant growth such as shoot and root length and biomass, seed germination and size of leaves (Wang et al., 2016). However, there still remains the need for further understanding of not only the mechanisms through which the PGPR perform their ecological roles, but also how such roles can be utilized and exploited for sustainable crop production and subsequently, improved food secutiry (Rosier et al., 2018).

In this review, a critical discussion has been made on the Bacilli rhizobacteria. The Bacilli are among the most investigated rhizobacterial species (Souza et al., 2015), after Pseudomonas mostly for their bio-control activities (Idris et al., 2007). Reports indicate that bacilli are also the most abundant in plant rhizospheres (Sivasakthi et al., 2014), making up to 95% of the Gram positive rhizobacterial populations in plant rhizospheres ((Prashar et al., 2013). According to Kumar et al. (2012a), these bacteria are efficient PGPR and capable of enhancing plant growth through production of a number of substances such as antibiotics and antifungal metabolites (Chowdhury et al., 2013), such as siderophores (Compant et al., 2005), and lytic enzymes (Nelson, 2004). Members of the Bacillus genus are particularly popular candidates for PGP because they sporulate and are easier to subject to commercial formulation (Mendis et al., 2018). Evidence seems to suggest that many of the Bacilli rhizobacteria can promote plant growth in more ways than one or a combination of several processes. For instance, B. polymyxa BFKC01 can not only improve nutrient availability to plants, but also produces phytohormones and enhances plant host ability to tolerate biotic and abiotic stresses (Zhou et al., 2016). Despite this information, complete exploitation of these rhizobacteria for crop production has not yet been realized in many parts of the world, especially considering the many desirable qualities they possess which make them suitable for use as plant biofertilizers and biopesticides. In fact, it is propounded that these unique rhizobacteria have received far much less attention even as potential bio-control agents than the Pseudomonas yet they offer several PGP activities and several advantages over the latter and other rhizobacteria (Idris et al., 2007). In this review, we highlight the many ways in which the Bacilli rhizobacteria are important in PGP, the desirable qualities they possess and the different ways in which they can be exploited for crop production and environmental sustainability. The present paradigms of applications of these rhizobacteria in different countries are presented explicitly to shed light on their applicability or lack thereof. Most importantly, this paper shows their multifarious PGP potential, which if adequately mined, can greatly contribute to increased crop production while at the same time, redressing environmental conservation.

 Table 1

 Endophytic Bacilli rhizobacteria of several host plants.

Bacillus sp.	Host plant	Reference
B. amyloliquefaciens	Tomato	Tan et al., 2013
B. aryabhattai	Mung bean	Bhutani et al., 2018
B. cereus	Mung bean	Bhutani et al., 2018
	Sophora	Zhao et al., 2011
B. licheniformis	Saffron	Sharma et al., 2015
B. pumilus	Saffron	Sharma et al., 2015
B. megaterium	Soybean	Subramanian et al., 2015
	Maize, Corn, Carrot, Citrus	Surette et al., 2003
	Mung bean	Bhutani et al., 2018
	Wild legumes	Muresu et al., 2008
	Common bean	Korir et al., 2017
B. polymyxa	Soybean	Hung et al., 2007
	Common bean	Korir et al., 2017
B. simplex	Various plants, Pea	Schwartz et al., 2013
	Wild legumes	Muresu et al., 2008
B. subtilis	Wheat	Li et al., 2013
	Soybeans	Bai et al., 2003
B. thuringiensis	Soybeans	Bai et al., 2003
Bacillus sp.	Chickpea	Saini et al., 2013
*	Mung bean	Pandya et al., 2013
	Pigeon pea	Rajendran et al., 2008
	Peanuts	Figueredo et al., 2014
	Tomato	Wei et al., 2015
	Wheat	Selvakumar et al., 2008
	Maize	Ikeda et al., 2013

# 2. Types of Bacilli rhizobacteria

Just like other PGPR, Bacilli rhizobacteria can be external or internal rhizobacteria with respect to plant roots (Gadhave et al., 2018). Literature indicates that *Bacillus* spp. are among the most common endophytes in plants (Rajendran et al., 2008), and that endophytic *Bacillus* spp. form more intimate relationships with their host plants because they are protected within the host tissues (Zhao et al., 2015), and possess better bio-control properties against plant pathogens (Dey et al., 2014; Timmusk et al., 2005). Hence, there is need to further investigate their potential in PGP as well as bioprotection. Examples of endophytic Bacilli rhizobacteria alongside their specific host plants are provided in Table 1.

Literature shows that some endophytic *Bacillus spp*. exist in nodules of non-specific hosts and are important in promoting growth and nodulation in such plants (Deng et al., 2011; Li et al., 2008a, 2008b; Muresu et al., 2008; Saini et al., 2013; Selvakumar et al., 2008; Stajković et al., 2009). Interestingly, such bacilli can be exploited for agricultural value. For instance, a very recent study by Zhao et al. (2018), demonstrates that nodule symbiotic *Bacillus* strains can be valuable candidates for exploring as biofertilizers. Some Bacilli rhizobacteria have also been implicated in enahnced nodulation and plant yield, especially when co-inoculated with *Rhizobium* (Bai et al., 2003; Rajendran et al., 2008).

This potential thus opens an interesting possibility for harnessing the Bacilli rhizobacteria for biofertilizer formulations. However, there is need for further investigations on the occurrence, conditions and interactions of endophytic Bacilli rhizobacteria with different plant sytems and how this can be made a beneficial option for improved crop yields and ultimately environmental sustainability.

# 3. The plant growth promotion functions of Bacilli rhizobacteria

The Bacilli rhizobacteria are known for many unique functions and properties in plant rhizospheres including phytostimulation, biofertilization and bioprotection. Detailed descriptions of the functions are elaborated in the following sub-sections.

#### Table 2

Phytohormones produced by different Bacilli rhizobacteria from different host plants.

Phytohormone	Bacilli rhizobacteria	Host Plant	Reference
IAA	B. amyloliquefaciens	Duckweed	Idris et al., 2007
	B. amyloliquefaciens	Soybean	Sharma et al., 2013
	B. amyloliquefaciens, B. subtilis	Pepper	Wu et al., 2015
	B. aryabhattai	Soybean	Park et al., 2017a
	B. cereus	Wheat	Hassan et al., 2018
	B. cereus	Rice, Chickpea	Chakraborty et al., 2011
	B. cereus, B. megaterium, B. aryabhattai	Mung bean	Bhutani et al., 2018
	B. licheniformis	Wheat	Singh and Jha, 2015
	B. megaterium	Trifolium repens	Marulanda et al., 2009
	B. megaterium	Vinca rosea	Khan et al., 2017
	B. megaterium, B. subtilis, B. cereus	Banana, Maize, Cotton, Wheat	Mohite, 2013
	B. polymyxa	Pepper	Phi et al., 2010
	B. pumilus	Wheat	Tiwari et al., 2011
	B. pumilus, B. furmus	Potato	Gururani et al., 2012
	B. subtilis	Acacia gerrardii	Hashem et al., 2016
	B. subtilis	Wheat	Upadyay et al., 2012
	Bacillus sp.	Grapevines	Liu et al., 2016
	Bacillus spp.	Maize	Bjelić et al., 2018
	Bacillus spp.	Bitter gourd	Ahmad et al., 2016
	Bacillus spp.	Maize	Rayavarapu and Padmavathi, 201
GA	B. amyloliquefaciens	Rice	Shahzad et al., 2017
	B. aryabhattai	Soybean	Park et al., 2017b
	B. cereus	Wheat	Hassan et al., 2018
	B. pumilus, B. cereus	Red Pepper	Joo et al., 2005
	B. pumilus, B. licheniformis	Alnus	Gutierrez-Manero et al., 2001
		Glutinosa	
	B. subtilis	Cucumber	Park et al., 2013
	B. amyloliquefaciens	Rice	Shahzad et al., 2016
	Bacillus spp.	Maize	Rayavarapu and Padmavathi, 201
ABA	B. amyloliquefaciens	Rice	Shahzad et al., 2017
	B. aryabhattai	Soybean	Park et al., 2017b
	B. cereus	Wheat	Hassan et al., 2018
	B. licheniformis	Grape vines	Salomon et al., 2014
	B. licheniformis	Chrysanthemum morifolium	Zhou et al., 2017
Cytokinin	B. licheniformis, B pumilus, B. subtilis	Alnus glutinosa	Gutierrez-Manero et al., 2001
	B. megaterium UMCV1	Beans	Ortíz-Castro et al., 2008
	Bacillus sp.	Cucumber	Sokolova et al., 2011
Ethylene	B. subtilis	Arabidopsis	Ryu et al., 2004
	B. subtilis	Rice	Chandler et al., 2015

# 3.1. Bacilli rhizobacteria as phytostimulators

Production of phytohormones such as gibberellic acid (GA) and indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) is one of the direct PGPR mechanisms exhibited by Bacilli rhizobacteria (García-Fraile et al., 2015). Several Bacilli rhizobacteria are known to produce IAA but little has been reported on their ability to produce abscisic acid (ABA) (Table 2). Phytohormone biosynthesis by these rhizobacteria has been directly linked to nutrient availability and subsequent growth promotion in different plants (Stamenkovic et al., 2018). For instance, inoculating potted tomato seedlings with cell suspensions of B. subtilis, was reported to enhance shoot and root growth, seedling vigor and leaf area of the plants and higher levels of GA and IAA were detected in treated plants compared with non-treated plants (Chowdappa et al., 2013). Some Bacillus strains such as SH1RP8 has also been shown to enhance 10.9% shoot growth and 51.7% dry weight of Peucedanum japonicum (Hong and Lee, 2014). Indole-3-acetic acid has an important role in enhancement of shoot and root development by influencing cell division and elongation (Pin-Ng et al., 2015).

The isolation of IAA-producing rhizobacteria and their application on crops has been put forward as a promising way of increasing soil fertility and plant production (Vejan et al., 2016).

The GAs are also known to influence many developmental processes such as seed germination, stem elongation, flowering, and fruiting in plants (Hedden and Phillips, 2000), andas well as enhanced shoot elongation and leaf bud formation (Srivastava, 2002). On the other hand, ABA regulates many physiological processes in plants including seed germination and tolerance to environmental stresses (Vijayabharathi et al., 2016). Just like IAA and GA, the presence of cytokinin in plant rhizospheres is reported to result in enhanced plant growth (Ortíz-Castro et al., 2008; Patel and Minocheherhomji, 2018). Studies show that cytokinin promotes plant growth by facilitating seed germination, leaf enlargement, and root and shoot development among others (Jha and Saraf, 2015).

Similarly, ethylene is important for growth and development of plants at lower concentrations but at higher concentrations, it can induce defoliation and premature senescence (Patel and Minocheherhomji, 2018). The production of such phytohormones and the subsequent enhancement of root branching, root numbers and/or development of root hair cells roots development is recognized to enhance nutrient uptake in plants (Kumar, 2015).

# 3.2. Bacilli rhizobacteria as plant bio-protectors

Bacilli rhizobacteria are among the most studied plant bio-protection agents (Przemieniecki et al., 2018), and use and number of antagonistically important Bacilli rhizobacteria is increasing rapidly especially because of their broad spectrum of activity against plant pathogens (Shafi et al., 2017). Some examples of Bacilli plant bioprotectors include to *B. simpex* (Schwartz et al., 2013), *B. amyloliquefaciens* (Idris et al., 2007), *B. thuringiensis* (Bai et al., 2003), *B. megaterium* (López-Bucio et al., 2007), and *B. subtilis* (Ashwiri and Srividya, 2013). For instance, B. amyloliquefaciens has been shown to have antifungal activity against Puccinia striiformis (Reiss and Jørgensen, 2017). Investigations by Wei et al. (2011), revealed the ability of B. amyloliquefaciens in reducing infections caused by Ralstonia solanacearum in potato plants. In another report by Etesami and Alikhani (2017), B. cereus was highlighted as having the potential of controlling many rice phytogenic fungi. Bacillus cereus has also been shown to be effective in bio-protection of Pigeon Pea against several fungal pathogens (Rani et al., 2011). In a very recent study, Bacillus sp. were shown to have significantly high antifungal properties when compared with other rhizobacteria including Pseudomonas spp. (Bjelić et al., 2018). In laboratory analyses performed by Przemieniecki et al. (2018). B. subtilis was reported to be antagonistic against a wide range of phytopathogens including Alternaria alternata, Aspergillus flavus, Botrytis cinerea, Colletotrichum acutatum, Fusarium oxysporum, F. graminearum, Verticillium dahlia, and Xanthomonas compestris. In general, B. subtilis, B. amyloliquefaciens and B. cereus are documented as the most effective of all rhizobacterial species in controlling plant diseases (Francis et al., 2010). Many of these special Bacilli rhizobacterial species with the potential to manage important plant diseases are reviewed by Shafi et al. (2017).

Bacilli rhizobacteria contribute to plant bioprotection in a number of ways, including by production of siderophores, enzymes, antibiotics and volatile organic compounds (Patel and Minocheherhomji, 2018), as discussed in the sections below.

#### 3.2.1. Production of siderophores

Siderophores are iron-chelating low molecular weight (200-2000 Da) compounds produced by some microorganisms and plants under iron-limiting conditions (Mhlongo et al., 2018; Shaikh and Sayyed, 2015). The mode of pathogen suppression by siderophores has been put forward as restriction of pathogen survival through inhibition of iron nutrition by chelation of available iron (Chaiharn et al., 2009). As such, a lot of siderophore-producing rhizobacteria, including Bacilli have been implicated in biocontrol of several plant diseases (Sayyed et al., 2005). Bacillus sp. from the maize rhizosphere were shown to have a high capacity to produce siderophores (Bjelić et al., 2018) and antagonism elicited by other siderophore-producing Bacillus sp. against Rhizoctonia solani causing black scurf and stem canker was reported by Kumar et al. (2013). In another study, siderophores produced by B. antiquum were reported to control charcoal rot disease caused by Macrophomonia phaseolina in sorghum (Gopalakrishnan et al., 2011). Other examples of siderophore producing Bacilli rhizobacteria include B. niabensis, B. subtilis and B. mojavensis (Kesaulya, 2018), B. megaterium (Chakraborty et al., 2006), Bacillus sp. from maize and peeper (Beneduzi et al., 2012), B. cereus from rice, mung bean and chickpea (Chakraborty et al., 2011), B. pumilus and B. furmus from potato (Gururani et al., 2012), B. polymyxa from pepper (Phi et al., 2010), B. subtilis from Chickpea (Karimi et al., 2011), and B. pumilus from wheat plants (Hafeez et al., 2006; Shaikh and Sayyed, 2015).

Siderophore-producing rhizobacteria are quickly gaining commercial significance not only because target organisms cannot develop resistance (Sayyed et al., 2005), but also because they also enhance iron nutrition to plants grown in iron limiting soils (Sayyed et al., 2007; Tank et al., 2012). Apart from iron, there is evidence indicating that siderophores also form stable compounds with other heavy metals like Al, Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn (Gururani et al., 2012). This phenomenon is advantageous to plants not only because of increasing availability of mineral nutrient to plants (Hassen et al., 2016), but also because they can help alleviate heavy metal stress especially in polluted souls (Ahemad and Kibret, 2014). The usefulness of siderophores and siderophore producing Bacilli rhizobacteria in plant health and nutrition can therefore not be overlooked and is worth more investigations.

## 3.2.2. Production of antibiotics

Bacilli rhizobacteria are reported to be among the most important

genera for antibiotic production (Jayaprakashvel and Mathivanan, 2011). Evidence shows that B. subtilis 168 and B. amyloliquefaciens FZB42 (Chang et al., 2007), produce a wide variety of antibacterial and antifungal antibiotics, including subtilin, bacilysin, mycobacillin, rhizocticins and difficidin (Leclere et al., 2005). In fact, these two Bacillus species are evidenced to have an average of 4–5% (Stein, 2005), and 8% (Ruckert et al., 2011), respectively of their genomes respectively coding for structurally diverse antimicrobial compounds. Bacillus subtilis also produces lantibiotics (Stein, 2005), which exhibit strong antibacterial properties against Gram-positive bacteria but their involvement in the biocontrol activity against plant-associated pathogens has not been clearly demonstrated (Cawoy et al., 2011). Bacillus brevis and B. poly*myxa* produce gramicidin S and polymyxin B peptide antibiotics that strongly inhibit Botrytis cinerea causing grey mold disease in strawberry under both in vitro and field conditions (Haggag, 2008) and reports also indicate that other Bacillus species can produce several antibiotics such as oomycin, pyoluteorin, and zwittermicin A (Fernando et al., 2005). Zwittermicin and mycosubtilin from B. subtilis are reported to be very effective against a number of fungal pathogens (Saraf et al., 2014), including Pythium aphanidermatum (Leclere et al., 2005). Also implicated in production of antibiotics are B. cereus, B. licheniformis, B. megaterium, B. mycoides and B. pumilus (Cawoy et al., 2011).

Antibiotics have been reported to suppress different plant pathogens through fungistasis (Shaikh and Sayyed, 2015). For instance, old reports indicate that a number of antibiotics such as iturin (Yu et al., 2002), surfactin (Ongena and Jacques, 2008), and aminopolyol produced by B. subtilis have strong antimicrobial activities against major plant pathogens like Rhizoctonia solani, Fusarium oxysporum and Pythium ultimum (Constantinescu, 2001) and Podosphaera fusca causing powdery mildew of cucurbits (Romero et al., 2007). These reports have been confirmed by a more recent study by Grover et al. (2010). Romero et al. (2007) also showed the connection between iturin and fengycin antibiotics from four B. subtilis strains (UMAF6614, UMAF6616, UMAF6639, and UMAF8561) in the suppression of powdery mildew of cucurbits caused by Podosphaera fusca. Bacillomycin D produced by Bacillus sp. A3F and B. amyloliquefaciens FZB42 (Koumoutsi et al., 2007), has also shown remarked effectiveness against Sclerotinia sclerottorum (Kumar et al., 2012b), and other fungal plant pathogens (Chen et al., 2009). Similarly, other antibiotics from B. cereus (KBS5-H) and B. subtilis (KBS6-3), were also reported to show significant efficiency against F. oxysporum and Pythium ultimum respectively (Idris et al., 2007). It is reported that the basis of antibiosis in bacteria is secretion of compounds which are deleterious to the metabolism of other microorganisms (Sayyed et al., 2008), and has been put forward as one of the processes that rhizobacteria use to suppress plant pathogens (Glick et al., 2007). Additionally, studies have established that each family of Bacillus antibiotics display specific antimicrobial activities and may thus be differentially involved in the antagonism of the various plant pathogens (Cawoy et al., 2011). A detailed review on the different classes of antibiotics produced by Bacilli rhizobacteria is available (Engelbrecht et al., 2018). Maksimov and Khairulin (2015), also reviewed some important antibioitcs produced by Bacilli rhizobacteria. Likewise, a detailed analysis of all known antibioitcs produced by B. subtilis, one of the most studied Bacilli rhizobacteria is provided for in an earlier review by Stein (2005).

# 3.2.3. Induced systematic resistance in plants

The isolation of important biocontrol rhizobacteria but with no apparent antagonistic activities led to the discovery of an interesting class of plant associated bacteria that activate plant defense systems (Cawoy et al., 2011). As such, ISR occurs when a plant acquires the ability to resist a pathogen it was initially susceptible to through the interaction with a rhizobacterium (Patel and Minocheherhomji, 2018). As a result, rhizobacteria which result into ISR in plants may not necessarily produce metabolites like antibiotics and siderophores but are still capable of protecting plants through alteration of host defense

systems (Shaikh and Sayyed, 2015). Defense elicitation during ISR is reported to occur as a result of cell wall thickenings or rapid death of diseased cells to prevent spread of pathogens (Lugtenberg et al., 2002). Several rhizobacterial species, have been implicated in triggering ISR in various plants against a broad range of diseases (Van Wees et al., 2008). Experimental results show that *Bacillus* species can induce a broad spectrum of resistance against various bacterial and fungal plant pathogens under both greenhouse and field conditions (Kloepper et al., 2004; Shafi et al., 2017).

The main components of ISR have been identified as phenolic compounds, genetic and structural modifications, plant resistance activators, and activation of enzymatic weapons (Shafi et al., 2017). However, many studies maintain that the biochemical and structural modifications in plants are key sources of disease reduction by defending pathogen attack (Shafi et al., 2017), and can lessen the spread of pathogens in host plants (Guo et al., 2004). For instance, cytological studies of root colonization of pea by B. pumilus limited Fusarium oxysporum from the epidermis and outer cortex by strengthening the cell wall and epidermal cells. In an older study with the same species, reduced fungal colonization by changing the host physiology by enhancing host cell wall density was reported (Benhamou et al., 1998). Instances of Bacillus-triggered ISR in different plants are illustrated in Table 3. Further, an old review is available on ISR elicitation in different plants against a wide spectrum of pathogens under greenhouse and field conditions (Kloepper et al., 2004).

Although rhizobacterial mediated ISR is not normally pathogenspecific and cannot confer total protection to plants, the phenomenon is highly desirable since it is long-lasting and confers a broad spectrum of protection to plants (Cawoy et al., 2011), and should definitely be explored and understood further. Out of the numerous *Bacillus* species involved in ISR in plants, *B. subtilis* is the most common and most efficient. It should however be noted that although *B. subtilis* is not always entirely rhizospheric and can be found in non-rhizospheric soils (Idris et al., 2007), it has often been associated with antifungal activities against plant pathogens (Bais et al., 2004).

The level of ISR has been shown to vary for different strains of rhizobacteria and in different plant species (Shafi et al., 2017). It is therefore important to investigate ISR elicitation by Bacilli rhizobacteria in different plants. ISR has also been demonstrated to be higher under plant-stress conditions than in non-stress conditions, thus ISR is highly favorable and recommended for biological control of plant pathogenic diseases even under environmentally-stressing conditions (Shafi et al., 2017). Their ability to produce heat-resistant spores can also be harnessed for successful plant protection in dry and hot conditions as will be the case in the wake of climate change and global warming. The application of Bacilli rhizobacterial species that can develop ISR in plants is a novel plant protection strategy (Idris et al., 2007: Wiesel et al., 2014), that should obviously call for more investigations to fully understand the mechanisms behind it and how they can fully be exploited for plant protection. In addition, most of the ISR elicited by these rhizobacteria has only been demonstrated under laboratory or greenhouse conditions and it is important that this promising attribute of the Bacilli should be investigated thoroughly under filed conditions to increase applicability.

# 3.2.4. Production of volatile organic compounds

Rhizobacterial Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) are low molecular weight compounds (< 300 g/mol) with high vapor pressure and include alcohol, aldehydes, ketones, hydrocarbons, acids and terpenes (Bhattacharyya et al., 2016; Mhlongo et al., 2018). Such VOCs have been directly and tightly linked to plant defense mechanisms by ISR (Shafi et al., 2017). For instance, the 2,3-butanediol (Ryu et al., 2004), and lipopeptides produced by *B. subtilis* plays an important role in PGP by activation of ISR (Compant et al., 2005) including the bio-control of *Fusarium* wilt of cucumber (Cao et al., 2011), *Phytophthora* blight of pepper (Chung et al., 2008), and damping off in tomato (Mizumoto et al., 2007). Experiments conducted on bean and tomato plants, the production of both surfactin and fengycin biosynthetic genes in *B. subtilis* S168 was also associated with a significant increase in ISR. Additionally, *B. polymyxa* that elicits ISR in *Arabidopsis* is documented

#### Table 3

Selected examples of Bacillus-induced systematic resistance in different host plants.

Bacilli rhizobacteria	Host plant	Pathogens	Evaluation conditions	Reference
B. subtilis	Arabidopsis	Pseudomonas syringiae	Greenhouse	Rudrappa et al., 2010
	Rice	Xanthomonas oryzae	Greenhouse	Jayaraj et al., 2004
	Tomato	Alternaria solani, Phytophthora infestans	Greenhouse	Chowdappa et al., 2013
	Arabidopsis	Erwinia carotovora	Greenhouse	Compant et al., 2005
	Rice	Xanthomonas oryzae	Laboratory, Greenhouse	Udayashankar et al., 2011
	Rice	Rhizoctonia solani	Greenhouse	Chandler et al., 2015
	Tomato	Alternaria solani, Phytophthora infestans	Laboratory, Greenhouse	Chowdappa et al., 2013
	Tomato	Fusarium oxysporum	Greenhouse	Akram et al., 2016
	Wheat	Puccinia striiformis	Greenhouse, field	Li et al., 2013
	Cucurbits	Podosphaera fusca	Laboratory	Romero et al., 2007
B. amyloliquefaciens	Tomato, Tobacco and cucumber	Various pathogens	Greenhouse & field	Kloepper et al., 2004
	Tomato	Ralstonia solanacearum	Greenhouse	Tan et al., 2013
	Tobacco	Nicotiana tabacum	Laboratory, Greenhouse	Wang et al., 2016
	Pepper	Xanthomonaas axonipodis	Field	Choi et al., 2014
	Panax ginseng	Phytophthora cactorum	Field	Lee et al., 2015
B. cereus	Tomato, Tobacco, Cucumber	Various pathogens	Greenhouse & field	Kloepper et al., 2004
	Tobacco, Corn	Fungal pathogens		Huang et al., 2012
B. pasteurii	Tomato, Tobacco and cucumber	Various pathogens	Greenhouse & field	Kloepper et al., 2004
B. polymyxa	Soybean	Rhizoctonia bataticola, Sclerotium rolfsii	In vitro	Hung et al., 2007
	French bean	Xanthomonas campestris	Laboratory, Greenhouse	Mageshwaran et al., 2012
B. megaterium	Wheat	Septoria tritici	Field	Kildea et al., 2008
B. mycoides	Tomato, Tobacco, Cucumber	Various pathogens	Greenhouse & field	Kloepper et al., 2004
•	Sugar beet	Cercospora beticola	Laboratory, Glasshouse	Bargabus et al., 2004
	Arabidopsis	Erwinia carotovora	In vitro	Ryu et al., 2004
B. sphaericus	Tomato, Tobacco, Cucumber	Various pathogens	Greenhouse & field	Kloepper et al., 2004
B. vallismortis	Tomato	Ralstonia solanacearum	Greenhouse	Park et al., 2007
	Chilli pepper	Colletotrichum acutatum	Greenhouse	Park et al., 2013
B. fortis LAGS162	Tomato	Fusarium oxysporum	Greenhouse	Akram et al., 2016
B. pumilus	Tomato, Tobacco and cucumber	Various pathogens	Greenhouse & field	Kloepper et al., 2004
*	Sugar beet	Cercospora beticola	Laboratory, Glasshouse	Bargabus et al., 2004

to produce a number of VOCs including isoprene and acetoin (Lee et al., 2015). In studies done by Jiang et al. (2015), it was reported that *B. amyloliquefaciens* strain 54 enhanced the level of resistance in plants against bacterial fruit blotch of cucurbitaceae crops by eliciting accumulation of  $H_2O_2$  and other VOCs in their tissues. These studies also confirmed the activity of VOCs such as 2,3-butanediol, 2-pentanol and acetoin by *B. subtilis* and *B. amyloliquefaciens* as earlier reported by Choong-Min et al., (2004).

Some rhizobacteria are capable of producing hydrogen cyanide (HCN) (Rezzonico et al., 2007), a VOC that is important in controlling plant pathogens by inhibiting the electron transport chain leading to death of cells (Patel and Minocheherhomii, 2018). Of the many VOCs produced by rhizobacteria. HCN is probably the most common and highly toxic compound that is reported to interfere with pathogen electron transport systems and therefore their energy supply systems (Patel and Minocheherhomji, 2018; Shaikh and Sayyed, 2015). Bacilli rhizobacterial species are documented to produce HCN and ammonia (Liu et al., 2016; Wani and Khan, 2010). Other VOCs which are highly effective against plant pathogens include decadienal and phenolic compounds (Shafi et al., 2017). In a recent in vitro study, several VOCs including 2,4 decadienal from Bacillus and Paenibacillus spp. were reported to show intensive antagonistic activities against a number of soil borne pathogens (Wei-wei et al., 2008). Evidence suggests that B. pumilus facilitates ISR development in pea roots in response to attack by F. oxysporum f. sp. pisi through accumulation of phenolic compounds (Jetiyanun and Kloepper, 2002), which contribute to pathogen suppression either by facilitating plant ISR by enhancing the mechanical strength of host cells or by directly inhibiting the growth of pathogen cells (Ramamoorthy et al., 2002). Production of VOCs is a very promising attribute of the Bacilli rhizobacteria that can be exploited for effective control of plant pathogens particularly those which are soilborne. Reports indicate that production of VOCs is a strain specific phenomenon (Bhattacharyya et al., 2016), that can be attributed to rhizobacterial genotypes (Kai et al., 2016). More investigations on functionality and applicability of different Bacillus strains are definitely required. More knowledge is also needed on the nature and accumulation of these compounds in rhizobacteria to clearly understand the mechanisms by which they signal plant defense systems against specific pathogens (Bhattacharyya et al., 2016).

## 3.2.5. Production of lytic enzymes

Besides production of siderophores, antibiotics and VOCs, several Bacilli rhizobacteria also produce lytic enzymes such as chitinases, glucanases and chitosanases (Shafi et al., 2017), whose defense-related activities have been proven against various plant pathogens (Shafi et al., 2017; Thilagavathi et al., 2007).

Enzymes are normally produced by several bacteria mainly to hydrolyze hydrolyze and utilize nutrients stored in substrates but the production of these unique metabolites transcends nutrient acquisition and often include outcompetition of other microbes in the rhizosphere (Shafi et al., 2017). Table 4 illustrates some of the rhizobacterial Bacillus species from a number of host plants which have been shown to produce lytic enzymes. Bacilli rhizobacteria that produce these important defense-eliciting enzymes hold an immense potential for the management of important fungal diseases of plants (Shafi et al., 2017). The cell walls of many plant pathogenic fungi are often made up of chitin and therefore rhizobacterial Bacilli which produce chitinases are particularly very important in biological control of such pathogens and ultimately lead to reduced dependence on chemical fungicides (Shaikh and Sayyed, 2015). The potential and major biocontrol agents with chitinolytic activities include B. licheniformis, B. cereus, B. circulans, B. subtilis and B. thuringiensis (Sadfi et al., 2001).

#### 3.3. Bacilli rhizobacteria as biofertilizers

## 3.3.1. Nutrient solubilization

Most soils have sufficient amounts of plant nutrients but these are often present in insoluble forms which are unavailable for uptake by plants (Shafi et al., 2017). Rhizobacterial Bacillus species secrete a number of metabolites which can strongly increase nutrient availability to plants (Sivasakthi et al., 2014; Verma et al., 2010). For instance, Jiang et al. (2015), after studying 100 bacterial strains for their PGP activities reported that B. amyloliquefaciens S54 significantly increased plant growth by enhancing the Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium as well as the chlorophyll content of plants. Inoculation of peanut seedlings with *B. thuringiensis* was reported to improve the solubilization of sparingly soluble phosphate compounds in soils resulting in a higher crop yield and increase the concentration of soluble P (Wang et al., 2014). In yet another study, inoculating wheat plants with B. thuringiensis was also reported to improve P uptake by the plants when compared with untreated plants (Delfim et al., 2018). In studies done by Han and Lee (2006), B. megaterium var. phosphaticum inoculated in nutrient deficient soils resulted into increased P availability and uptake in pepper and cucumber. In a different study, Hafeez et al. (2006), also reported nutrient solubilization ability of B. pumilus of wheat in Mongolia. In terms of nutrient solubilization, Bacillus spp. are perhaps the most efficient rhizobacteria comparable only to Psuedomonads (Podile and Kishore, 2006). Furthermore, evidence now seems to suggest that Bacilli rhizobacteria could actually be better PGP candidates than Pseudomonas sp. (Malleswari and Bhagyanarayana, 2013), and probably unmatched by all other rhizobacteria. In a recent study by Bjelić et al. (2018) for instance, Bacillus spp. were found to be better P-solubilizers than all other isolates that were studied, including Pseudomonas spp.

The mechanisms of P-solubilization have been associated with the release of organic acids through which their hydroxyl and carboxyl groups chelate the cations bound to the phosphate, ultimately converting it into soluble forms (Bhattacharyya et al., 2016; Patel and Minocheherhomji, 2018). Evidence suggests that these P solubilizing bacteria (PSB) utilize the sugars in root exudates and in turn produce the organic acids which are responsible for P solubilization (Goswami et al., 2014). Several studies have identified and quantified organic acids from Bacilli rhizobacteria and defined their role in the solubilization process (Marra et al., 2012). However, the efficiency of solubilization depends on the kind of organic acids released into the medium and their concentration (Delfim et al., 2018). The identification of specific Bacilli rhizobacteria that can produce several organic acids simultaneously could also mean greater solubilization potential of insoluble inorganic phosphates and other nutrients (Marra et al., 2012), and probably suffice with regards to provision of nutrients to plants.

Among the soil bacterial communities, ectorhizospheric Bacillus species for example B. megaterium, B. circulans, B. coagulans, B. subtilis, B. sircalmous (Govindasamy et al., 2011), and B. cereus (Rani et al., 2011), are recognized as some of the most effective P solubilizers (Goswami et al., 2014). Other Bacilli rhizobacteria which have been implicated in P solubilization include B. pumilus and B. furmus (Gururani et al., 2012), B. cereus (Chakraborty et al., 2011), B. thuringiensis and B. sphaericus (Sivasakthi et al., 2014), B. flexus (Ibarra-Galeana et al., 2017), B. polymyxa (Ei-Yazeid and Abou-Aly, 2011), and other Bacillus spp. (Liu et al., 2016). Phosphate solubilization by B. megaterium havs also been reported by other workers (Chakraborty et al., 2006; Ibarra-Galeana et al., 2017; Surette et al., 2003). It goes without saying that the application of PSB can contribute immensely to increased P availability for plants and reduce the need for the application of synthetic P fertilizers and the environmental effect associated with excess of P applications.

Potassium (K), is also one of the essential nutrients required for plant growth (Patel and Minocheherhomji, 2018), but is also often limiting in most soils. Hence, the need to find indigenous sources of soil enrichment with K-solubilizing rhizobacteria present in soil can provide

#### Table 4

Lytic enzymes produced by some rhizobacterial Bacillus species in different host plants.

Bacillus sp.	Enzyme(s)	Host plant	Reference
B. subtilis	Phenolics lyases, Catalases	Tomato	Ramyabharathi and Raguchander, 2014
	Chitinases	Tobacco	Das et al., 2010
	Chitinases	Grapevine	Trotel-Aziz et al., 2008
	Catalases	Wheat	Przemieniecki et al., 2018
	Proteases	Chickpea	Karimi et al., 2011
	Chitinases, Glucanases, Proteases, Cellulases	Chickpea	Patil et al., 2014
B. circulans	Chitinases	Peanut	Kishore et al., 2005
B. mycoides	Glucanases, Chitinases, Peroxidases,	Sugar beet	Bargabus et al., 2004
B. pumilus	Glucanases, Chitinases	Sugar beet	Bargabus et al., 2004
B. thuringiensis	Peroxidases, Glucanases, Chitinases	Sugar beet	Bargabus et al., 2004
	Chitinases	Soybean	Liu et al., 2010
	Chitinases	Cotton	Shaikh and Sayyed, 2015
B. cereus	Chitinases	Sorghum	Idris et al., 2007
	Catalases, Proteases, Chitinases	Rice, Mung bean, Chickpea	Chakraborty et al., 2011
	Chitinases, Chitosanase, Proteases	Cabbage	Chang et al., 2007
	Chitinases, Glucanases	Loquat	Wang et al., 2014
B. luciferensis	Proteases	Pepper	Sivasakthi et al., 2014
B. licheniformis	Chitinases	Cabbage	Chang et al., 2007
Bacillus sp.	Cellulases	Grapevines	Liu et al., 2016
Ĩ	Lytic enzymes	Maize	Bjelić et al., 2018
	Chitinases, Glucanases, Proteases, Peroxidases	Tomato	Solanki et al., 2014
	Peroxidases, Oxidases, superoxide dismutases	Tomato	Chowdappa et al., 2013
B. polymyxa	Cellulases, Pectinases	Soybean	Hung et al., 2007

K to plants in K deficient soils (Setiawati and Mutmainnah, 2016). A number of Bacillus species from Pepper and cucumber rhizospheres are documented to be involved in K solubilization (Han and Lee, 2006). Also commonly implicated in K mobilization are B. circulans (Liu et al., 2012), B. mucilaginous and B. edaphicus (Bhattacharya et al., 2016). Bacilli rhizobacteria have also been implicated in solubilization of other nutrients. For instance, Zinc solubilization and mobilization ability of B. subtilis have been demonstrated in wheat and soybean plants (Ramesh et al., 2014), and in soybean and mung bean (Sharma et al., 2013). Zinc solubilization has also been reported in studies involving B. aryabhattai (Mumtaz et al., 2017). However, most of these demonstrations have been conducted under laboratory conditions and little information is present on the transferability of these qualities under field conditions. According to Parmar and Sindhu (2013), generally little is known of K solubilization and mechanisms of solubilization by most rhizobacteria in different crops and there are possibilities for further enhancing the production of crops by application of K solubilizing rhizobacteria as biofertilizers.

# 3.3.2. Nitrogen fixation

More than 80% of  $N_2$  occurs in the atmosphere as inert gas which is not available to plants (Patel and Minocheherhomji, 2018). To supply this important nutrient to plants, nitrogenous fertilizers are often applied during crop production. Recent reports indicate that less than half of applied nitrogen is effectively absorbed by plants with the rest being lost through volatilization or leaching resulting into environmental pollution (Le Mire et al., 2016). For instance, nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) which is one of the gases evolved during application of nitrogenous fertilizers is one of the most important greenhouse gases (Adesemoye et al., 2009). A lot of these problems can adequately be solved by exploiting the biological N<sub>2</sub> fixing microorganisms (Calvo et al., 2014).

Biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) is the process through which atmospheric  $N_2$  is reduced to ammonia which can be taken up by plants (Gothwal et al., 2007). The BNF process can occur symbiotically or asymbiotically (Gupta, 2004). *Bacillus sp.* among other rhizobacteria such as *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter* and *Paenibacillus* are some of the asymbiotic  $N_2$  fixers in plant rhizospheres (Ahemad and Kibret, 2014; Goswami et al., 2015). In a study done by Ding et al., (2005), investigating  $N_2$ -fixing strains from plant rhizospheres in Beijing region, the presence of *nif* genes in *Bacillus* was reported. The  $N_2$ -fixing *B. megaterium* has previously also been isolated from maize and rhizosphere es (Liu et al., 2006). Older studies also report the N<sub>2</sub>-fixing ability of *Bacillus spp*. and *B. polymyxa* isolated from grass (Idris et al., 2007) and wheat roots (Omar et al., 1996) respectively. The species *B. polymyxa* has also been reported to increase the foliar N content in pine seedlings by up to 38% higher than control seedlings (Tang et al., 2017), and by up to 118% and 22% for canola and tomato seedlings respectively (Padda et al., 2016). *Bacillus pumilus* S1r1, and *B. subtilis* UPMB10 are also reported to have the capacity to rfix N<sub>2</sub> (Gouda et al., 2018)). These studies agree with older reports on the ability of a number of Bacilli rhizobacteria including *B. megaterium*, *B. cereus*, *B. pumilus*, *B. circulans*, *B. licheniformis*, *B. subtilis*, *B. brevis* and *B. firmus* to contain nitrogenase activities (Xie et al., 1998).

Interestingly, quite recent reports indicate that some Bacilli rhizobacteria can be involved in symbiotic  $N_{\rm 2}$  fixation (Bhattacharyya and Jha, 2012; Ikeda et al., 2013). Szilagyi-Zecchin et al. (2014), also report of three endophytic Bacillus spp. isolated from corn roots with N2 fixing capacity evaluated through acetylene reduction assay and identification of N<sub>2</sub> fixation (nif) genes. However, these reports only refer to the possibility of symbiotic N2 fixation under in vitro conditions by use of Nitrogen free media. Due to the important nature of symbiotic N2 fixation, such phenomena in Bacilli rhizobacteria are worth investigating and exploiting even in planta. Most symbiotic N2 fixation even in Bacilli rhizobacteria have been reported in leguminous plants and it will also be immensely important to investigate the ability of Bacilli rhizobacteria to fix N<sub>2</sub> symbiotically in non-leguminous plants which form the bulk of human food worldwide (Gouda et al., 2018). Nitrogen fixation is an important trait of PGPRs as it directly provides N2 to the plant and N2-fixing rhizobacteria have been marketed as biofertilizers for over 20 years (Goswami et al., 2015). Although not many studies report the ability of Bacilli rhizobacteria to fix N2, there are a number of studies which report on increased nitrogen nutrition in plants especially when Bacilli rhizobacteria are co-inoculated with other rhizobacterial species. For instance, co-inoculation of Azospirillum lipoferum and B. megaterium was reported to improve both N2 and phosphorus nutrition in wheat plants (El-Komy, 2005). Similarly, coinoculation of some Bacillus strains along with effective Rhizobium spp. has been shown to stimulate growth, nodulation and N<sub>2</sub> fixation, for instance in chickpea (Qureshi et al., 2009), and common bean (Korir et al., 2017). Bacillus spp. isolated from soybean root nodules can promote plant growth and nodulation either individually or with coinoculation with Bradyrhizobium japonicum (Bai et al., 2003). These

interesting findings seem to signify some form of cooperation between Bacilli rhizobacteria and  $N_2$ -fixing bacteria which calls for more investigations

# 4. Factors that contribute to suitability of Bacilli rhizobacteria for plant growth promotion

The efficiency of Bacillus species over other rhizobacteria has constantly been attributed to their ability to produce spores which are resistant to environmental stresses (Rayavarapu and Padmavathi, 2016). The Bacilli rhizobacteria have found wide applications in several sectors because of the unique properties they possess (Ongena and Jacques, 2008). For example, they are known to be resistant to adverse environmental conditions due to their ability to produce hard, resistant endospores which have made them very attractive biological control agents. According to a number of workers, the spores make them survive extreme temperatures, pH and osmotic conditions and provide them with competitive advantages over other microorganisms (Kumar et al., 2014). The ability of the spores to survive for extended periods of time is especially attractive because it helps in increasing the shelf life of products, hence making them readily adaptable and attractive commercial formulations for field applications (Adesemoye et al., 2017). It is documented that B. subtilis endospores contribute to their hyperactivity against many fungal pathogens as a result of the ability of the spores to endure the extreme environmental conditions (Shafi et al., 2017). Moreover, sporulation is advantageous becuase bacterial suspensions can be converted into powdered formulations which are not only easy to handle but also increase their stability, something which cannot be realized with non-sporulating bacteria (Lolloo et al., 2010).

Apart from sporulation, Bacilli rhizobacteria possess many other important properties that increase their chances of survival in the environment (Rosas-Garcia, 2009). For instance, reports indicate that although they are aerobic, they also possess qualities to enable them survive under extreme anoxygenic conditions (Silini-Cherif et al., 2012). This enables them to survive under different oxygen conditions and equip them with a competitive advantage over other rhizobacteria (Cawoy et al., 2011). Additionally, Bacilli rhizobacteria are well studied and understood organisms which helps to facilitate their use (Cawoy et al., 2011). For example, one of the major Bacilli rhizobacteria, B. subtilis is recognized by the US Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) as a GRAS (generally recognized as safe) organism (Denner and Gillanders, 1996). However, some species like B. cereus, although also good at PGP, are not suitable for formulation and commercialization because they are also opportunistic pathogenic to human beings (Nakreen et al., 2005).

The ability of Bacilli rhizobacteria to replicate rapidly has also made them suitable candidates for PGP as this is one of the critical factors required for successful bio-control activities (Cavaglieri et al., 2005). The rapid colonization potential of Bacilli rhizobacteria has been demonstrated in cucumber (Cao et al., 2011), cotton (Li et al., 2013), maize (Cavaglieri et al., 2005), banana (Zhang et al., 2011), water melon, (Jiang et al., 2015), and rice (Nautiyal et al., 2013). Rapid root colonization is documented as a prerequisite for PGP activities (Kamilova et al., 2015) and is subsequently one of the factors that are considered important during selection of rhizobacteria for commercial formulation (Shafi et al., 2017). Competitive colonization by some Bacilli rhizobacteria has been attributed to some of the metabolites they produce. For instance, surfactins synthesized by B. amyloliquefaciens FZB42 are documented to not only confer them with competitive advantage but also competitive colonization of the rhizosphere (Chen et al., 2009). Similarly, the successful application of B. subtilis as a commercial product for PGP has been attributed not only to its ability to produce numerous antifungal metabolites but also to its competitive colonization potential (Cao et al., 2011; Compant et al., 2010; Li et al., 2013). Furthermore, Bacilli rhizobacteria being motile species, are capable of moving towards and locating host plants through

chemotaxis, facilitating the colonization potential (Cawoy et al., 2011). In an earlier study done by Zheng and Sinclair (2000), motile and chemotactic strains of *B. megaterium* were shown to have better rhizosphere colonization ability and suppression of *Rhizoctonia solani*.

Bacilli rhizobacteria produce a wide array of antagonistic compounds such as wide spectrum antibiotics, siderophores and enzymes (Goswami et al., 2016; Shafi et al., 2017), all of which are known to suppress phytopathogens (Ashwiri and Srividya, 2013), and increase their competitive ability over the other microflora in the rhizosphere (Koumoutsi et al., 2004). The antibiotics they produce are reported to belong to different classes and capable of suppressing diverse microbial competitors, including phytopathogens (Choudhary and Johri, 2009). Additionally, some of the antifungal metabolites like siderophores and other VOCs double up as phytostimulators (McSpadden and Fravel, 2002). Moreover, Bacilli rhizobacteria are generally easy to subject to industrial production and commercialization because they do not have complex nutritional requirements (Cawoy et al., 2011).

# 5. Current and future prospects of use and application of Bacilli rhizobacteria

*Bacillus* species produce numerous compounds that can be applied in the management of a broad range of plant pests (Shafi et al., 2017) and plant growth promotion. Formulation, commercialization and application of efficient PGPR strains like the Bacilli has been put forward as one of the ways in which the agricultural losses cause by biotic and abiotic stresses can be controlled (Glick, 2014). However, there is still a huge potential of enhancing their usage for agricultural productivity (Kamilova et al., 2015). Table 5 portrays some of the commercial products that have been developed and commercialized using different Bacilli rhizobacteria as used in different countries on different crops.

Despite the fact that the Bacilli rhizobacteria possess many different and unique qualities which make them promising for commercial formulations, their use and application has not been adopted in majority of countries in the world. According to Shafi et al. (2017), their formulation and commercialization is concentrated mainly in America, Europe and Asia. In Africa for example, utilization has only been reported in South Africa. Still, although most of the commercialized rhizobacteria consist of Bacillus spp., only a few species of Bacillus have been put to practical use in crop production. Reports indicate that of all the Bacilli rhizobacteria, commercial formulations are commonly just made out of two prominent species: B. subtilis and B. amyloliquefaciens (Dey et al., 2014), and the rest are almost untouched in terms of formulation, commercialization and application despite the huge potential they hold. According to Pérez-García et al. (2011), Bacillus species such as B. amyloliquefaciens, B. licheniformis and B. pumilus are also available in the market as bio-fungicide formulations. As such, there is still a huge potential and possibility of exploiting many other Bacillus species and strains of rhizobacteria for formulation and commercialization.

A number of factors are reported to contribute to the slow rate of exploitation of these beneficial rhizobacteria, for instance, complexity of field conditions, call for extensive studies to fully understand and characterize their modes of action (Shafi et al., 2017). Studies show that many rhizobacteria perform well under controlled conditions but applications under field conditions do not perform as well (Shafi et al., 2017; Shaikh and Sayyed, 2015). The greatest challenge faced during development of bio-formulations is the fact that crops are grown under a multiplicity of climatic and environmental conditions causing disparities in the potentiality of PGPR biofertilizers (Kamilova et al., 2015). The development of stable formulations of biological agents under field conditions is also still problematic due to diverse environmental conditions (Shafi et al., 2017). As a result, more investigations on field viability of other Bacilli rhizobacteria which have currently not been commercialized for such applications are still required. Part of the solution to this problem will be to continue investigating plant, soil and region-specific Bacilli rhizobacteria to enhance their adoption in

#### Table 5

Examples of Bacilli rhizobacterial Commercial Formulations in different countries.

Bacillus sp.	Commercial product	Plants under application	Country	Reference
B. subtilis	Serenade®	Fruits, Vegetables, Onions, Potato	USA, Chile, Israel, Italy, Turkey	Mendis et al., 2018
	Rhizocell <sup>R</sup> GC	Cereals	Canada	Le Mire et al., 2016
	Sonata <sup>R</sup>	Tomato, Potato, Fruits, Pepper	USA, Mexico	Cao et al., 2011
	Yield Shield <sup>R</sup> , Companion <sup>R</sup>	Soybean, Cotton, Bean	USA	Cawoy et al., 2011
	Avogreen <sup>R</sup>	Avocado	South Africa	Cawoy et al., 2011
	Epic <sup>R</sup>	Cotton, legumes	USA	Sayyed et al., 2012
	Bio safe <sup>R</sup>	Soybean, Bean	Brazil	Cawoy et al., 2011
	Biosubtilin <sup>R</sup>	Cotton, Cereals	India	Cawoy et al., 2011
	Pro-Mix <sup>R</sup>	Soybean	USA, Canada	Cawoy et al., 2011
	Rhizo Plus <sup>R</sup>	Several crops	Germany, USA	Cawoy et al., 2011; Tabassum et al., 2017
	Ecoshot <sup>R</sup>	Fruits, Legumes	Japan	Cawoy et al., 2011
	Cease <sup>R</sup>	Several crops	USA, Mexico	Cawoy et al., 2011
	Inomix <sup>R</sup>	Cereals	Spain	Le Mire et al., 2016
	Bacillus SPP <sup>R</sup>	Several crops	Chile	Cawoy et al., 2011
	Sutilex <sup>R</sup>	Cotton, Soybean	USA	Tabassum et al., 2017
	EM Biocontrol	Vegetable, Fruits	Pakistan	Tabassum et al., 2017
	FZB24 <sup>R</sup>	Potatoes	Germany	Sharaf-Eldin et al., 2008
	VoTiVo <sup>R</sup>	Different crops	USA	Castillo et al., 2013
	BioPromotor <sup>R</sup> BioPhospho <sup>R</sup>	Wheat, Maize, Rice	India	Tabassum et al., 2017
	Quantum <sup>R</sup> 4000	Cabbage, Lettuce, Pepper, Tomato	USA	Chet and Chernin, 2002
	Kodiak <sup>R</sup>	Cotton, legumes	USA	Sayyed et al., 2012
	Kiwa <sup>R</sup>	Rice	China	Tabassum et al., 2017
B. amyloliquefaciens	Rhizo Vital <sup>R</sup>	Potato, Corn, Tomato, cucumber	Germany	Chowdhury et al., 2013
21 anytoiquojuotona	BioYield <sup>R</sup>	Tomato, cucumber, Pepper,	USA	Tabassum et al., 2017
	DioTicita	Tobacco	0011	
	Green Relief <sup>R</sup>	Various crops	USA	Choudhary and Johri, 2009
B. cepacian	Botrycid <sup>R</sup>	Several crops	Colombia	Cawoy et al., 2011
B. licheniformis	EcoGuard <sup>R</sup>	Different crops	USA	Goswami et al., 2016
B. megaterium var. phosphaticum	Symbion <sup>R</sup> -K	Vegetables	India	Le Mire et al., 2016
B. polymyxa	Inomix <sup>R</sup>	Cereals	Spain	Le Mire et al., 2016
B. pumilus	Ballad <sup>R</sup>	Cereals, Sugar beet	USA	Cawoy et al., 2011
21 punitus	Nortica <sup>R</sup>	Turf grasses	USA	Mendis et al., 2018
	Yield Shield <sup>R</sup>	Soybeans	North America	Govindasamy et al., 2010
B. velezensis	Botrybel <sup>R</sup>	Tomato, Lettuce	Spain	Cawoy et al., 2011
Bacillus sp.	PGA <sup>R</sup>	Fruits, vegetables	USA	Govindasamy et al., 2010

different regions (Kloepper et al., 2004). Successful application and use of Bacilli rhizobacteria for plant bio-protection and growth promotion by other mechanisms will therefore require stable formulations using suitable carriers that can optimize their activities under field conditions (Shafi et al., 2017). Development of formulations with enhanced and stable shelf lives will be extremely important in paving way for commercialization and application of these rhizobacteria for crop production and environmental sustainability (Shaikh and Sayyed, 2015). It should however be noted that although some Bacillus species may not show good PGP activities in vitro tests, the same can show significant bio-control efficacy in vivo (Idris et al., 2007). Research has shown that greater PGP is realized when mixed inoculants are used (García-de-Salamone et al., 2012), and investigations into combined PGP ability of different Bacilli rhizobacteria could yield even better results at plant growth promotion. However, care should be taken not to include species with antagonistic effect against the other biological control agents.

Introducing spore-forming *Bacillus* species into plants through genetic engineering has been provided as an effective solution to plant pathogens. Spore forming ability of Bacilli rhizobacteria is one of the desirable and promising qualities for their application as plant growth promoters. Thus, attention should be paid to development of cost-effective and stable spores-based products.

*Bacillus* species have the ability to produce compounds that belong to multiple classes of antibiotics which can be used for control of a broad range of plant pathogenic diseases. However, quantification of these biologically active compounds is difficult because of low quantities. Current biotechnology can be employed to select not only for better producers of these important compounds, but also for stability and competent root colonization for enhanced performance (Shaikh and Sayyed, 2015). To realize this, proper knowledge and understanding of the rhizobacterial active compounds is necessary for a stable and efficient formulation. For successful application of Bacilli rhizobacteria, understanding of their modes of action, diversity, ecological distribution will be valuable. More knowledge on diversity, distribution and activities mediated by these rhizobacteria will be useful not only in identifying better inoculants but also the specific crops onto which their application will be successful (Choudhary and Johri, 2009). Similarly, since root colonization has been identified as a prerequisite for PGP (Kamilova et al., 2015), investigations on Bacilli rhizobacteria colonization potential in different plant roots are still very necessary and quantification methods should be able to differentiate the inoculated strain from indigenous rhizosphere bacterial communities (Mendis et al., 2018). According to Compant et al. (2010) understanding the colonization potential of rhizobacteria is very important in predicting their suitability as successful plant growth promotors under field conditions. It will also be important to investigate the genetic diversity within antagonistic Bacilli rhizobacteria with common biocontrol traits to build knowledge on the mechanisms and exploit the genetic differences for purposes of selecting and developing strains with better rhizosphere colonization and competition potential (Choudhary and Johri, 2009).

# 6. Conclusions

Application of rhizobacterial inoculants as biofertilizers and biocontrol agents is an integral component of sustainable agricultural practices (Babalola, 2010), and has been the subject of investigation for a long time now (Stamenkovic et al., 2018). With the rising emphasis on sustainable agriculture, environmental protection, and food security, the exploitation of beneficial soil microbiota is inevitable (Ilangumaran and Smith, 2017). Bacilli rhizobacteria present not only environmentally-friendly but also an efficient technology (Shafi et al., 2017), for PGP through different mechanisms including plant bio-protection, hormone production and nutrient solubilization. The formulation, commercialization and real time application of Bacilli rhizobacteria in crop production does not reflect the volume of research that has been done on them plus their multifarious PGP abilities and unique properties. More investigations are needed concerning their modes of action, practical formulation and application, stability under field conditions and to screen for efficient strains. The way to go will be rhizoengineering (Dessaux and Grandclément, 2016), using species specific rhizobacteria and eventually, this could allow for significant progress in implementing the application of the Bacilli rhizobacteria for crop production and environmental sustainability. Continued research focusing on endophytic types of Bacilli rhizobacteria and their colonization potential in different plants will pave way for development of better performing biofertilizers, bioprotection agents and phytostimulators (Govindasamy et al., 2011). Finally, biotechnology can help in screening and development of better stains with multiple and overexpressed PGP qualities.

#### **Declaration of interest**

None.

#### References

- Adesemoye, A.O., Torbert, H., Kloepper, J.W., 2009. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria allow reduced application rates of chemical fertilizers. Microb. Ecol. 58, 921–929.
- Adesemoye, A.O., Yuen, G., Watts, D.B., 2017. Microbial inoculants for optimized plant nutrient use in integrated pest and input management systems. Probiotics and Plant Health. Springer, Singapore, pp. 21–40.
- Ahemad, M., Kibret, M., 2014. Mechanisms and applications of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: current perspective. J. King Saud Univ. Sci. 26, 1–20. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jksus.2013.05.001.
- Ahmad, I., Akhtar, M.J., Asghar, H.N., Ghafoor, S., Shahid, M., 2016. Differential effects of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on maize growth and cadmium uptake. J. Plant Growth Regul. 35, 3013–3315. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-015-9534-5.
- Akram, W., Anjum, T., Ali, B., 2016. Phenylacetic acid is ISR determinant produced by *Bacillus fortis* IAGS162, which involves extensive re-modulation in metabolomics of tomato to protect against Fusarium wilt. Front. Plant Sci. 7, 498. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fpls.2016.00498.
- Ashwiri, N., Srividya, S., 2013. Potentiality of *Bacillus subtilis* as biocontrol agent for management of anthracnose disease of chili caused by *colletotrichum gloeosporioides* OGC1. Biotechnology 4, 127–136.
- Babalola, O.O., 2010. Beneficial bacteria of agricultural importance. Biotechnol. Lett. 32, 1559–1570. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-010-0347-0.
- Bai, Y., Zhou, X., Smith, D.L., 2003. Enhanced soybean plant growth resulting from coinoculation of Bacillus strains with Bradirhizobium japonicum. Crop Sci. 43, 1774–1778.
- Bais, H.P., Fall, R., Vivanco, J.M., 2004. Biocontrol of *Bacillus subtilis* against infection of *Arabidopsis* roots by *Pseudomonas syringae* is facilitated by biofilm formation and surfactin production. Plant Physiol. 134, 307–319.
- Bargabus, R.L., Zidack, N.K., Sherwood, J.E., Jacobsen, B.J., 2004. Screening for the identification potential biological control agents that induce systemic acquired resistance in sugar beet. Biol. Control 30, 342–350.
- Beneduzi, A., Ambrosini, A., Passaglia, L.M.P., 2012. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): their potential as antagonists and biocontrol agents. Genet. Mol. Biol. 4, 1044–1051. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-47572012000600020.
- Benhamou, N., Kloepper, J.W., Tuzun, S., 1998. Induction of resistance against Fusarium wilt of tomato by combination of chitosan with an endophytic bacterial strain: ultrastructure and cytochemistry of the host response. Planta 204, 153–168.
- Bhattacharyya, P.N., Jha, D.K., 2012. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emergence in agriculture. Microb. Biotechnol. 28, 1327–1350.
- Bhattacharyya, P.N., Goswami, M.P., Bhattacharyya, L.H., 2016. Perspective of beneficial microbes in agriculture under changing climatic scenario: a review. J. Phytol. 8, 26–41.
- Bhutani, N., Maheshwari, R., Negi, M., Suneja, P., 2018. Optimization of IAA production by endophytic Bacillus spp. from Vigna radiata for their potential use as plant growth promoters. Isr. J. Plant Sci. https://doi.org/10.1163/22238980-00001025.
- Bjelić, D., Marinković, J., Tintor, B., Mrkovački, N., 2018. Antifungal and plant growth promoting activities of indigenous rhizobacteria isolated from maize (Zea mays L.) rhizosphere. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 49, 88–98. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00103624.2017.1421650.
- Calvo, P., Nelson, L., Kloepper, J.W., 2014. Agricultural uses of plant biostimulants. Plant Soil 383, 2–41.

- Cao, Y., Zhang, Z., Ling, N., Yuan, Y., Zheng, X., Shen, B., Shen, Q., 2011. Bacillus subtilis SQR 9 can control *Fusarium wilt* in cucumber by colonizing plant roots. Biol. Fertil. Soils 47, 495–506.
- Castanheira, N.L., Dourado, A.C., Pais, I., Samedo, J., Scotti-Campos, P., Borges, N., Fareleira, P., 2017. Colonization and beneficial effects on annual ryegrass by mixed inoculation with plant growth promoting bacteria. Microbiol. Res. 198, 47–55.
- Castillo, J., Lawrence, K., Kloepper, J.W., 2013. Biocontrol of the reniform nematode by Bacillus firmus GB-126 and paecilomyces lilacinus 251 on cotton. Plant Dis. 97, 967–976. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-10-12-0978-RE.
- Cavaglieri, L., Orlando, J., Rodriguez, M., Chulze, S., Etcheverry, M., 2005. Biocontrol of Bacillus subtilis against Fusarium verticillioides in vitro and at the maize root level. Res. Microbiol. 156, 748–754. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2005.03.001.
- Cawoy, H., Bettiol, W., Fickers, P., Ongena, M., 2011. Bacillus-based biological control of plant diseases. In: Stoytcheva, M. (Ed.), Pesticides in the Modern World-Pesticides Use and Management 273-302. InTech, Rijeka, Croatia, pp. 273–302.
- Chaiharn, M., Chunhaleuchanon, S., Lumyong, S., 2009. Screening siderophore producing bacteria as potential biological control agent for fungal rice pathogens in Thailand. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 25, 1919–1928.
- Chakraborty, A.P., Dey, P., Chakraborty, B., Roy, S., Chakraborty, U., 2011. Plant growth promotion and amelioration of salinity stress in crop plants by a salt-tolerant bacterium. Recent Res. Sci. Technol. 3, 61–70.
- Chakraborty, U., Chakraborty, B., Basnet, M., 2006. Plant growth promotion of Camellia sinensis by B. Magaterium. J. Basic Microbiol. 46, 186–195.
- Chandler, S., Van Hese, N., Coutte, F., Jacques, P., Höfte, M., De Veesschauwer, D., 2015. Role of cyclic lipopeptides produced by *Bacillus subtilis* in mounting induced immunity in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 91, 20–30.
- Chang, W.T., Chen, Y.C., Jao, C.L., 2007. Antifungal activity and enhancement of plant growth by *Bacillus cereus* grown on shellfish chitin wastes. Bioresour. Technol. 98, 1224–1230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.05.005.
- Chen, X.H., Koumoutsi, A., Scholz, R., Schneider, K., Vater, J., Ussmuth, S.D., Piel, J., Borris, R., 2009. Genomeanalysis of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 reveals its potential for biocontrol of plant pathogens. J. Biotechnol. 140, 27–37.
- Chet, I., Chernin, L., 2002. Biocontrol microbial agents in soil. In: Bitton, G. (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Environmental Microbiology. Wiley, New York, pp. 450–465.Choi, H.K., Song, G.C., Yi, H.S., Ryu, C.M., 2014. Field evaluation of the bacterial volatile
- derivative 3-pentanol in priming for induced resistance in pepper. J. Chem. Ecol. 40, 882–892.
- Choong-Min, R., Farag, M.A., Chia-Hui, H., Reddy, M.S., Kloepper, J.W., Pare, P.W., 2004. Bacterial volatiles induce systemic resistance in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 134, 1017–1026.
- Choudhary, D.K., Johri, B.N., 2009. Interactions of *Bacillus spp*. and plants with special reference to induced systemic resistance (ISR). Microbiol. Res. 164, 493–513. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2008.08.007.
- Chowdappa, P., Kumar, S.M., Lakshmi, M.J., Mohan, S.P., Upreti, K.K., 2013. Growth stimulation and induction of systemic resistance in tomato against early and late blight by *Bacillus subtilis* OTPB1 or *Trichoderma harzianum* OTPB3. Biol. Control 65, 109–117.
- Chowdhury, S.P., Dietel, K., Rändler, M., Schmid, M., Junge, H., Borris, R., Hartmann, A., Grosch, R., 2013. Effects of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 on lettuce growth and health under pathogen pressure and its impact on the rhizosphere bacterial community. PLoS One 8. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0068818.
- Chung, S., Kong, H., Buyer, J.S., Lakshamn, D.K., Lydon, J., Kim, S.D., Roberts, D.P., 2008. Isolation and partialcharacterization of *B. Subtilis* ME488 for suppression of soil borne pathogens ofcucumber and pepper. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 80, 115–123. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-008-1520-4.
- Compart, S., Clement, C., Sessitsch, A., 2010. Plant growth-promoting bacteria in the rhizo and endosphere of plants their role, organization, mechanisms involved and prospects for utilization. Soil Biol. Biochem. 42, 669–678.
- Compant, S., Duffy, B., Nowak, J., Clement, C., Barka, E.A., 2005. Use of plant growthpromoting bacteria for biocontrol of plant diseases: principles, mechanisms of action, and future prospects. Appl. Environ. Biol. 71, 4951–4959.
- Constantinescu, F., 2001. Extraction and Identification of Antifungal Metabolites Produced by Some B. subtilis Strains 31. Analele Institutului Cercet. Pentru Cereale Prot. Plantelo, pp. 17–23.
- Das, S.N., Dutta, S., Kondreddy, A., Chilukoti, N., Pullabhotla, S.V., Vadlamudi, S., Podile, A.R., 2010. Plant growth-promoting chitinolytic Paenibacillus elgii responds positively to tobacco root exudates. J. Plant Growth Regul. 29, 409–418.
- Dawwam, G.E., Elbeltagy, A., Emara, H.M., Abbas, I.H., Hassan, M.M., 2013. Beneficial effect of plant growth promoting bacteria isolated from the roots of potato plant. Ann. Agric. Sci. 58.
- Delfim, J., Schoebitz, M., Paulino, L., Hirzel, J., Zagal, E., 2018. Phosphorus availability in Wheat in Volcanic soils inoculated with Phosphate Solubilizing Bacillus thiringiensis. Sustainability 10, 144. https://doi.org/10.3390/su100101441.
- Deng, Z., Zhao, L., Kong, Z.Y., 2011. Diversity of endophytic bacteria within nodules of the Sphaerophysa salsula in different regions of Loess Plateau in China. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 76, 463–475.
- Denner, W., Gillanders, T., 1996. The legislative aspects of the use of industrial enzymes in the manufacture of food and food ingredients. In: Godfrey, T., Reichelt, J. (Eds.), Industrial Enzymology. Stockton Press, New York, pp. 397–412.
- Dessaux, Y., Grandclément, C., 2016. Engineering the rhizosphere. Trends Plant Sci. 21, 266-278.
- Dey, R., Pal, K.K., Tilak, K.V.B.R., 2014. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in crop protection and challenges. In: Manoharachary, Goyal A. (Ed.), Future Challenges in Crop Protection Agaisnt FuNgal Pathopgens, Fungal Biology. Springer Science + Business Media New Yourk, New York, pp. 31–58.
- Ding, Y., Wang, J., Liu, Y., Chen, S., 2005. Isolation and identification of nitrogen-fixing

bacilli from plant rhizospheres in Beijing region. J. Appl. Microbiol. 99, 1271–1281. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2005.02738.x.

- Ei-Yazeid, A.A., Abou-Aly, H.E., 2011. Enhancing growth, productivity and quality of tomato plants using phosphate solubilizing microorganisms. Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci. 7, 371–379.
- El-Komy, H.M.A., 2005. Co-immobilization of A. Lipoferum and B. Megaterium for plant nutrition. Food Technol. Biotechnol. 43, 19–27.
- Engelbrecht, G., Horak, I., Rensburg, P.J.J.V., Claasens, N., 2018. Bacillus-based bionematicides: development, modes of action and commercialisation. Biocontrol Sci. Technol. 2, 629–653. https://doi.org/10.1080/09583157.2018.1469000.
- Etesami, H., Alikhani, H.A., 2017. Evaluation of gram-positive rhizosphere and endophytic bacteria for biological control of fungal rice (*Oryzia sativa* L.) pathogens. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 147, 7–14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-016-0981-z.
- Fernando, D.W.G., Nakkeeran, S., Zhang, Y., 2005. Biosynthesis of antibiotics by PGPR and its relation in biocontrol of plant diseases. In: Siddiqui, Z.A. (Ed.), PGPR: Biocontrol and Biofertilization. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 67–109.
- Figueredo, M.S., Tonelli, M.L., Taurian, T., Angelini, T., Ibáñez, F., Valetti, L., Munoz, V., Anzuay, M.S., Luduena, L., Fabra, A., 2014. Interrelationships between *Bacillus sp.* CHEP5 and *Bradyrhizobium sp.* SEMIA6144 in the induced systemic resistance against *Sclerotium rolfsii* and symbiosis on peanut plants. J. Biosci. 39, 877–885.
- Francis, I., Holsters, M., Vereecke, D., 2010. The gram-positive side of plant-microbe interaction. Environ. Microbiol. 12, 1–12.
- Gadhave, K.R., Devlin, P.F., Ebertz, A., Ross, A., Gange, A.C., 2018. Soil inoculation with *Bacillus* spp. modifies root endophytic bacterial diversity, evenness, and community composition in a context-specific manner. Microb. Ecol. 76 (3), 741–750. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00248-018-1160-x.
- García-de-Salamone, I.E., Funes, J.M., Di Salvo, L.P., Escoba-Ortega, J.S., D'Auria, F., Farrando, L., Fernandez-Scavino, A., 2012. Inoculation of paddy rice with *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*: impact of plant genotypes on rhizosphere microbial communities and field crop production. Appl. Soil Ecol. 61, 196–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.12.012.
- García-Fraile, P., Menéndez, E., Rivas, R., 2015. Role of bacterial biofertilizers in agriculture and forestry. AIMS Bioeng. 2, 108–205.
- Glick, B.R., 2014. Bacteria with ACC deaminase can promote Plant growth and help to feed the world. Microbiol. Res. 169, 30–39.
- Glick, B.R., 2012. Plant Growth-promoting Bacteria: Mechanisms and Applications. Scientifica (Cairo), Scientifica, Cairo, Egypt.
- Glick, B.R., Cheng, Z., Czarny, J., Duan, J., 2007. Promotion of plant growth by ACC deaminase producing soil bacteria. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 119, 329–339.
- Gopalakrishnan, S., Humayun, P., Kiran, B.K., Kanana, I.G.K., Vidya, M.S., Deepthi, K., Rupela, O., 2011. Evaluation of bacteria isolated from rice rhizosphere for biological control of charcoal rot of sorghum caused by *Macrophomonia phaseolina* (Tassi) Goid. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 27, 1313–1321. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-010-0579-0.
- Goswami, D., Dhandhukia, P.C., Patel, P., Thakker, J.N., 2014. Screening of PGPR from saline desert of Kutch: growth promotion in *Arachis hypogea by Bacillus licheniformis* A2. Microbiol. Res. 169, 66–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2013.07.004.
- Goswami, D., Parmar, S., Vaghela, H., Dhandhukia, P.C., Thakker, J.N., 2015. Describing *Paenibacillus* mucilaginosus strain N3 as an efficient plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). Congent Food Agric. 1, 1000714. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 23311932.2014.1000714.

Goswami, D., Thakker, J.N., Dhandhukia, P.C., 2016. Portraying mechanics of plant

- growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): a review. Congent Food Agric. 2, 1–9. Gothwal, R.K., Nigam, V.K., Mohan, M.K., Sasmal, D., Ghosh, P., 2007. Screening of nitrogen fixers from rhizospheric bacterial isolates associated with important desert plants. Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res. 6, 101–109.
- Gouda, S., Kerry, R.G., Das, G., Paramithiotis, S., Patra, J.K., 2018. Revitalization of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for sustainable development in agriculture. Microbiol. Res. 206, 131–140.

Govindasamy, V., Senthilkumar, M., Annapurna, K., 2014. Effect of mustard Rhizobacteria on wheat growth promotion under cadmium stress: characterization of acdS gene coding ACC deaminase. Ann. Microbiol. 65, 1679–1687. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s13213-014-1007-8.

- Govindasamy, V., Senthilkumar, M., Magheshwaran, V., Kumar, U., Bose, P., Sharma, V., Annapurna, K., 2011. Bacillus and Paenibacillus spp.: potential PGPR for sustainable agriculture. In: Maheshwari, D.K. (Ed.), Plant Growth and Health Promoting Bacteria. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Govindasamy, V., Senthilkumar, M., Magheshwaran, V., Kumar, U., Rose, P., Sharma, V., Annapuma, K., 2010. *Bacillus* and *Paenibacillus* spp.: potential PGPR for sustainable agriculture. In: Maheshwari, D.K. (Ed.), Plant Growth and Health Promoting Bacteria, Microblology Monographs. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Grover, M., Nain, L., Singh, S.B., Saxena, A.K., 2010. Molecular and biochemical approaches for characterization of antifungal trait of a potent biocontrol agent Bacillus subtilis RP24. Curr. Microbiol. 60, 99–106.
- Guo, J.H., Qi, H.Y., Guo, Y.H., Ge, H.L., Gong, L.Y., Zhang, L.X., Sun, P.H., 2004. Biocontrol of tomato wilt by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Biol. Control 29, 66–72.
- Gupta, A.K., 2004. The Complete Technology Book on Biofertilizers and Organic Farming. National Institute of Industrial Research Press, India.
- Gupta, G., Parihar, S.S., Ahirwar, N.K., Sneni, S.K., Singh, V., 2015. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): current and future prospects for development of sustainable agriculture. J. Microbiol. Biochem. 7, 96–102.
- Gururani, M.A., Upadhaya, C.P., Baskar, V., Venkatesh, J., Nookaraju, A., Park, S.W., 2012. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria enhance abiotic stress tolerance in *Solanum tuberosum* through inducing changes in the expression of ROS-scavenging enzymes and improved photosynthetic performance. J. Plant Growth Regul. 32,

245-258.

- Gutierrez-Manero, F.J., Ramos-Solano, B., Probanza, A., Mehouachi, J.R., Tadeo, F., Talon, M., 2001. The plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria *Bacillus pumilus* and *Bacillus licheniformis* produce high amounts of physiologically active gibberellins. Physiol. Plant. 111, 206–211. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1399-3054.2001. 1110211.x.
- Hafeez, F.Y., Yasmin, S., Ariani, D., Mehboob-ur-Rahman, Z., Malik, K.A., 2006. Plant growth promoting bacteria as biofertilizer. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 26, 143–150. https://doi.org/10.1051/1gro:2006007.
- Haggag, W.M., 2008. Isolation of bioactive antibiotic peptides from Bacillus brevis and Bacillus polymyxa against Botrytis grey mould in strawberry. Biocontrol Sci. Technol. 41, 447–491.
- Han, H.S., Lee, K.D., 2006. Effect of co-inoculation with phosphate and potassium solubilizing bacteria on mineral uptake and growth of pepper and cucumber. Plant Soil Environ. 52, 130–131.
- Hashem, A., Abd-Allah, E.F., Alqarawi, A., Al-Huqail, A.A., Wirth, S., Egamberdiyeva, D., 2016. The interaction between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and endophytic bacteria enhances plant growth of Acacia gerrardii under salt stress. Front. Plant Sci. 7, 1089. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01089.
- Hassan, T.U., Bano, A., Naz, I., Husain, M., 2018. Bacillus cereus: a competent plant growth promoting bacterium of saline sodic field. Pak. J. Bot. 50, 1029–1037.
- Hassen, A.I., Bopape, F.L., Sanger, L.K., 2016. Microbial inoculants as agents of growth promotion and abiotic stress tolerance in plants. In: Singh, D., Singh, H., Prabha, R. (Eds.), Microbial Inoculants in Sustainable Agricultural Productivity. Springer, New Delhi, pp. 23–36.
- Hayat, R., Ali, S., Khalid, R., Ahmed, I., 2010. Soil beneficial bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion: a review. Ann. Microbiol. 60, 579–598. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s13213-010-0117-1.
- Hedden, P., Phillips, A.L., 2000. Gibberellin metabolism: new insights revealed by the genes. Trends Plant Sci. 5, 523–530. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(00) 01790-8.
- Hong, S.H., Lee, E.Y., 2014. Vegetation restoration and prevention of coastal sand dunes erosion using ion exchange resins and the plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria *Bacillus sp.* SH1RP8 isolated from indigenous plants. Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad. 95, 2–269.
- Huang, C.J., Tsay, J.F., Chang, S.Y., Yang, H.P., Wu, W.S., Chen, Y.C., 2012. Dimethyl disulfide is an induced systemic resistance elicitor produced by Bacillus cereus C1L. Pest Manag. Sci. 68, 1306–1310.
- Hung, P.Q., Kumar, S.M., Govindasamy, V., Annapurna, A., 2007. Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria from wild and cultivated soybean varieties. Biol. Fertil. Soils 44, 155–162. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-007-0189-7.
- Ibarra-Galeana, J.A., Castro-Martínez, C., Fierro-Coronado, R.A., Armenta-Bojórquez, A.D., Maldonado-Mendoza, I.E., 2017. Characterization of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria exhibiting the potential for growth promotion and phosphorus nutrition improvement in maize (*Zea mays L.*) in calcareous soils of Sinaloa, Mexico. Ann. Microbiol. 67, 801–811. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-017-1308-9.
- Idris, E.E., Iglesias, D.J., Talon, M., Borris, R., 2007. Tryptophan-dependent production of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) affects level of plant growth promotion by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 20, 619–626.
- Ikeda, G., Bassani, L.L., Adamoski, D., Stringari, D., Cordeiro, V.K., Glienke, C., Maria-Steffens, B.R., Hungria, M., GalliTerasawa, L.V., 2013. Morphological and genetic characterization of endophytic bacteria isolated from roots of different maize genotypes. Microb. Ecol. 65, 154–160.
- Ilangumaran, G., Smith, D.L., 2017. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in amelioration of salinity stress: a systems biology perspective. Front. Plant Sci. 8, 1768. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01768.
- Islam, S., Akanda, A.M., Prova, A., Islam, M.T., Hossain, M., 2016. Isolation and identification of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria from cucumber rhizosphere and their effect on plant growth promotion and disease suppression. Front. Microbiol. 6, 1–12.
- Jayaprakashvel, M., Mathivanan, N., 2011. Management of plant diseases by microbial metabolites. In: Maheshwari, D.K. (Ed.), Bacteria in Agrobiology: Plant nutrient Management. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 237–265.
- Jayaraj, J., Anand, Muthukrishnan, S., Punja, Z.K., 2004. Pathogenesis-related proteins and their roles in resistance to fungal pathogens. Fungal Disease Resistance in Pants: Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, and Genetic Engineering. Haworth Press, New York, pp. 139–177.
- Jetiyanun, K., Kloepper, J.W., 2002. Mixtures of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for induction of systemic resistance against multiple plant diseases. Biol. Control 285–291.
- Jha, C.K., Saraf, M., 2015. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): a review. E3 J. Agric. Res. Dev. 5, 108–119.
- Jha, P.N., Gupta, G., Jha, P., Mehrota, R., 2013. Association of rhizospheric/endophytic bacteria with plants: a potential gateway to sustainable agriculture. Greener J. Agric. Sci. 3, 73–84.
- Jiang, C.H., Wu, F., Yu, Z.Y., Xie, P., Ke, H.J., Li, H.W., Yu, Y.Y., Guo, J.H., 2015. Study on screening and antagonistic mechanisms of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*54 against bacterial fruit blotch (BFB) caused by Acidovorax avenae subsp. citrulli. Microbiol. Res. 170, 95–104.
- Joo, G.J., Kim, Y.M., Kim, J.T., Rhee, I.K., Kim, J.H., Lee, I.J., 2005. Gibberellins-producing rhizobacteria increase endogenous gibberellins content and promote growth of red peppers. J. Microbiol. 43, 510–515.
- Kai, M., Effmert, U., Piechulla, B., 2016. Bacterial-plant-interactions: approaches to unravel the biological function of bacterial volatiles in the rhizosphere. Front. Microbiol. 7, 108. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00108.
- Kamilova, F., Okon, Y., de Weert, S., Hora, K., 2015. Commercialization of microbes:

#### B.N. Aloo et al.

manufacturing, inoculation, best practice for objective field testing, and registration. In: Lugtenberg, B.J. (Ed.), Principles of Plant-Microbe Interactions. Springer International, Berlin, pp. 319–327.

- Karimi, E., Jaafar, H.Z., Ahmad, S., 2011. Phytochemical analysis and antimicrobial activities of methanolic extracts of leaf, stem and root from different varieties of Labisa pumila Benth. Molecules 16, 4438–4450.
- Kesaulya, H., 2018. Potential of Bacillus spp produces siderophores insuppressing the wilt disease of banana plants. IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. https://doi.org/10.1088/ 1755-1315/102/1/012016.
- Khan, W.U., Ahmad, S.R., Yasin, N.A., Ali, A., Ahmad, A., Akram, W., 2017. Application of *Bacillus megaterium* MCR-8 improved phytoextraction and stress alleviation of nickel in Vinca rosea. Int. J. Phytoremediation 19, 813–824. https://doi.org/10. 1080/15226514.2017.1290580.
- Kildea, S., Ransbortyn, V., Khan, M.R., Fagan, B., Leonard, G., Mullins, E., Doohan, F.M., 2008. *Bacillus megaterium* shows potential for the biocontrol of septoria tritici blotch of wheat. Biol. Control 47, 37–45.
- Kishore, G.K., Pande, S., Podile, A.R., 2005. Biological control of late leaf spot of peanut (Arachis hypogaea) with chitinolytic bacteria. Phytopathology 95, 1157–1165.
- Kloepper, J.W., Ryu, C.M., Zhang, S., 2004. Induced systemic resistance and promotion of plant growth by Bacillus spp. Phytopathology 94, 1259–1266.
- Korir, H., Mungai, N.W., Thuita, M., Hamba, Y., Masso, 2017. Co-inoculation effect of Rhizobia and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on common bean growth in a low phosphorus. Front. Plant Sci. 7, 141. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00141.
- Koumoutsi, A., Chen, X.H., Henne, A., Liesegang, H., Hitzeroth, G., Franke, P., Vater, J., Borriss, R., 2004. Structural and functional characterization of gene clusters directing nonribosomal synthesis of bioactive cyclic lipopeptides in *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB42. J. Bacteriol. 186, 1084.
- Koumoutsi, A., Chen, X.H., Vater, J., Borris, R., Deg, U., Ycz, E., 2007. Positively regulate the synthesis of bacillomycin D by B. amyloliquefaciens strain FZB42. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73, 6953–6964.
- Krey, T., Vassilev, N., Baum, C., Eichler-Lobermann, B., 2013. Effects of long-term phosphorus application and plant-growth promoting Rhizobacteria on maize phosphorus nutrition under field conditions. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 55, 124–130. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2012.12.007.
- Kuan, K.B., Othman, R., Rahim, K.A., Shamsuddin, Z.H., 2016. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria inoculation to enhance vegetative growth, Nitrogen fixation and nitrogen remobilisation of Maize under Greenhouse conditions. PLoS One 11, 1–19.
- Kumar, S., 2015. Biopesticide: an environment friendly pest management strategy. J. Biofertilizers Biopestic. 6, 1–3.
- Kumar, A., Kumar, A., Pratush, A., 2014. Molecular diversity and functional variability of environmental isolates of *Bacillus* species. SpringerPlus 3, 312. https://doi.org/10. 1186/2193-1801-3-312.
- Kumar, J.I.N., Bora, A., Amb, M.K., 2010. Chronic toxicity of the triazole fungicide tebuconazole on a heterocystous, nitrogen-fixing rice paddy field cyanobacterium, Westiellopsis prolifica Janet. J. Microb. Biotechnol. 20, 1134–1139.
- Kumar, S.S., Ram, K.R., Kumar, D.R., Panwar, S., Prasad, C.S., 2013. Biocontrol by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria against black scurf and stem canker disease of potato caused by R. Solani. Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Prot. 46, 487–502.
- Kumar, A., Kumar, A., Devi, S., Patil, S., Payal, C., Negi, S., 2012a. Isolation, screening and characterization of bacteria from Rhizospheric soils for different plant growth promotion (PGP) activities: an in vitro study. Recent Res. Sci. Technol. 4, 1–5.
- Kumar, A., Saini, S., Wray, N.M., Prakash, A., Johri, B.N., 2012b. Characterization of an antifungal compound produced by *Bacillus sp.* Strain A3F that inhibits *S. Sclerotiorum*. J. Basic Microbiol. 52, 670–678.
- Le Mire, G., Nguyen, M.L., Fassotte, B., du Jardin, P., Verheggen, F., Delaplace, P., Jijaki, M.H., 2016. Implementing plant biostimulants and biocontrol strategies in the agroecological management of cultivated ecosystems. A review. Biotechnol. Agron. Soc. Environ. 20, 299–313.
- Leclere, V., Bechet, M., Adam, A., Guez, J.S., Wathelet, B., Ongena, M., Thonart, P., 2005. Mycosubtilin overproduction by *Bacillus subtilis* BBG100 enhances the organism's antagonistic and biocontrol activities. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 4577–4584. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.8.4577-4584.2005.
- Lee, B.D., Dutta, S., Ryu, H., Yoo, S.J., Suh, D.S., Park, K., 2015. Induction of systemic resistance in *Panax ginseng* against *Phytophthora cactorum* by native *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* HK34. J. Ginseng Res. 39, 213–220.
- Li, S., Zhang, N., Zhang, Z.H., Luo, J., Shen, B., Zhang, R., Shen, Q., 2013. Antagonist Bacillus subtilis HJ5 controls Verticillium wilt of cotton by root colonization and biofilm formation. Biol. Fertil. Soils 49, 295–303.
- Li, J., Luo, M., Zhou, J., Khong, D.J., Zhang, T.M., 2008a. Isolation of endophytic diazotrophic bacteria from several gramineae grasses and determination of their nitrogenase activity. Acta Pr. Sin 5, 37–42.
- Li, J., wang, E.T., Chen, W.F., Chen, W.X., 2008b. Genetic diversity and potential for promotion of plant growth detected in nodule endophytic bacteria of soybean grown in Heilongjiang province of China. Soil Biol. Biochem. 40, 238–246.
- Liu, D., Lian, B., Dong, H., 2012. Isolation of Paenibacillus sp. and assessment of its potential for enhancing mineral weathering. Geomicrobiol. J. 29, 413–421.
- Liu, W., Wang, Q., Hou, J., Tu, C., Luo, Y., Christie, P., 2016. Whole genome analysis of halotolerant and alkalotolerant plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium Klebsiella sp. D5A. Sci. Rep. 6, 26710.
- Liu, X., Zhao, H., Chen, S., 2006. Coloniation of maize and rice plants by strain Bacillus megaterium C4. Curr. Microbiol. 52, 186–190.
- Liu, X. Y., Ruan, L.F., Hu, Z.F., Peng, D.H., Cao, S.Y., Yu, Z.N., Liu, Y., Zheng, J.S., Sun, M., 2010. Genome-wide screening reveals the genetic determinants of an antibiotic insecticide in Bacillus thuringiensis. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 39191–39200.
- Lolloo, R., Maharaih, D., Gorgens, J., Gardiner, N., 2010. A downstream process for production of a viable and stable *Bacillus cereus* aquaculture biological agent. Appl.

Microbiol. Biotechnol. 86, 99-508.

- López-Bucio, J., Campos-Cuevas, J.C., Hernández-Calderón, E., Velásquez- Becerra, C., Farías-Rodríguez, R., Macías- Rodríguez, L.I., Valencia-Cantero, E., 2007. Bacillus megaterium rhizobacteria promote growth and alter root-system architecture through an auxin- and ethylene-independent signaling mechanism in Arabidopsis thaliana. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 20, 207–217.
- Lugtenberg, B.J., Chin-A-Woeng, T.F.C., Bloemberg, G.V., 2002. Microbe-plant interactions: principles and mechanisms. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek Int. J. Genera Mol. Microbiol. 81, 373–383.
- Mageshwaran, V., Vital, L., Annapurna, K., 2012. Suppression of common blight disease (Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. phaseoli) by a bacterial endophyte in soybean (Glycine max). Indian J. Agric. Sci. 82, 388–390.
- Maksimov, I., Khairullin, R., 2015. The Role of Bacillus Bacterium in Formation of Plant Defence: Mechanism and Reaction.
- Malleswari, D., Bhagyanarayana, G., 2013. Pantoeaeucrina (Cf7) a novel plant growth promoting rhizobacterium from India. Ann. Biol. Res. 4, 139–144.
- Marra, L.M., Soares, C.R.F.S., de Oliveira, S.M., Ferreira, P.A.A.A., Soares, B.L., Carvalho, R.F., Lima, J.M., Moreira, F.M., 2012. Biological nitrogen fixation and phosphate solubilization by bacteria isolated from tropical soils. Plant Soil 357, 289–307.
- Martinez-Viveros, O., Jorquera, M.A., Crowley, D.E., Gajardo, G., Mora, M.I., 2010. Mechanisms and practical considerations involved in plant growth promotion by rhizobacteria. J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutition 10, 293–319.
- Marulanda, A., Barea, J.M., Azcon, R., 2009. Stimulation of plant growth and drought tolerance by native microorganisms (AM fungi and bacteria) from dry environments: mechanisms related to bacterial effectiveness. J. Plant Growth Regul. 28, 115–124. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-009-9079-6.
- McSpadden, G.B., Fravel, D., 2002. Biological control of plant pathogens: research, commercialization and application in the USA. Plant Health Prog. 10 (1094), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1094/PHP-2002-05-10-RV.
- Mendis, H.C., Thomas, P., Schwientek, P., Salamzade, R., Chien, J.T., Waidyarathne Kloepper, J.W., De La Fuente, L., 2018. Strain-specific quantification of root colonization by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria *Bacillus firmus* I-1582 and *Bacillus amyloliauefaciens* OST713 in non-sterile soil and field conditions. PLoS One 13.
- Mhlongo, M.I., Piater, L.A., Madala, N.E., Labuschagne, N., Dubery, I.A., 2018. The chemistry of plant-microbe interactions in the rhizosphere and the potential for metabolomics to reveal signaling related to defense priming and induced systemic resistance. Front. Plant Sci. 9, 112. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00112.
- Mizumoto, S., Hirai, Shoda, M., 2007. Enhanced iturin A production by *B. subtilis* and its effect on suppression of the plant pathogen *R. solani*. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 75, 267–1274.
- Mohite, B., 2013. Isolation and characterization of indole acetic acid (IAA) producing bacteria from rhizospheric soil and its effect on plant growth. J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutition 13, 38–649.
- Mumtaz, M.Z., Ahmad, M., Jamil, M., Hussain, T., 2017. Zinc solubilizing Bacillus spp. potential candidates for biofortification in maize. Microbiol. Res. 202, 51–60.
- Muresu, R., Polone, E., Suldas, L., Tondello, A., Delogu, G., Cappuccinelli, P., Alberginni, S., Benhizia, H., Benguedouar, A., Mori, B., Calamasi, R., Dazzo, F.B., Squartini, A., 2008. Coexistence of predominantly non-culturable rhizobia with diverse, endophytic bacterial taxa within nodules of wild legumes. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 63 (3), 383-400. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00424.x.
- Nakreen, S., Fernando, D.W.G., Siddiqui, Z.A., 2005. Plant growth promoting rhizospbactria formulations and its scope in commercialization for the management of pests and diseases. In: Siddiqui, Z.A. (Ed.), Biocontrol and Biofertilization. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 257–296.
- Naqqash, T., Hameed, S., Imram, A., Hanif, M.K., Majeed, A., Van Elsas, J.D., 2016. Differential response of potato toward inoculation with taxonomically diverse plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Front. Plant Sci. 7, 144. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpls.2016.00144.
- Nautiyal, C.S., Srivastava, S., Chauhan, P.S., Seem, K., Mishra, A., Sopory, S.K., 2013. Plant growth-promoting bacteria *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* NBRISN13 modulates gene expression profile of leaf and rhizosphere community in rice during salt stress. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 66, 1–9.
- Nelson, L.M., 2004. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): prospects for new inoculants. Crop Manag. https://doi.org/10.1094/CM-2004-0310-05-RV.
- Omar, M.N.A., Mahrous, H.M., Hamouda, A.M., 1996. Evaluating the efficiency of inoculating some diazatrophs on yield and protein content of 3 wheat cultivars under graded levels of nitrogen fertilization. Ann. Agric. Sceince 41, 579–590.
- Ongena, M., Jacques, P., 2008. Bacillus lipopeptides: versatile weapons for plant disease biocontrol. Trends Microbiol. 16, 115–125.
- Ortíz-Castro, R., Valencia-Cantero, E., López-Bucio, J., 2008. Plant growth promotion by *Bacillus megaterium* involves cytokinin signaling. Plant Signal. Behav. 3, 263–265. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-20-2-0207.
- Padda, K.P., Puri, A., Chanway, C.P., 2016. Effect of GFP tagging of *Paenibacillus polymyxa* P2b-2R on its ability to promote growth of canola and tomato seedlings. Biol. Fertil. Soils 52, 377–387.
- Pandya, M., Kumar, G.N., Rajkumar, S., 2013. Invasion of rhizobial infection thread by non-rhizobia for colonization of *Vigna radiata* root nodules. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 348, 58–65.
- Park, J.W., Balaraju, K., Kim, J.W., Lee, S.W., Park, K., 2013. Systemic resistance and growth promotion of chili pepper induced by an antibiotic producing *Bacillus vallismortis* strain BS07. Biol. Control 65, 246–257.
- Park, S.Y., Paul, D., Kim, T., Nam, J.H., Lee, K., Choi, C.S., Lee, Y.S., 2007. Induced systemic resistance by *Bacillus vallismortis* EXTN-1 suppressed bacterial wilt in tomato caused by *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Plant Pathol. J. 23, 22–25.
- Park, C.H., Yeo, H.J., Park, Y.J., Morgan, A.M., Valan, A.M., Al-Dhabi, N.A., Park, S.U., 2017a. Influence of Indole-3-Acetic acid and gibberellic acid on phenylpropanoid

accumulation in common buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum* moench). Molecules 22, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules22030374.

- Park, Y.G., Mun, B.G., Kang, S.M., Hussain, A., Shahzad, R., Seo, C.W., Kim, A.Y., Lee, S.U., Oh, K.Y., Lee, D.Y., Lee, I.J., Yun, B.W., 2017b. *Bacillus aryabhattai* SRB02 tolerates oxidative and nitrosative stress and promotes the growth of soybean by modulating the production of phytohormones. PLoS One 12 (3), e0173203. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173203. eCollection 2017.
- Parmar, P., Sindhu, S.S., 2013. Potassium solubilization by rhizosphere bacteria: influence of nutritional and environmental conditions. J. Microbiol. Res. 31, 25–31.
- Patel, S.T., Minocheherhomji, F.P., 2018. Review: plant growth prooting rhizobacteria: blessing to agriculture. Int. J. Pure Appl. Biosci. 6, 481–492. https://doi.org/10. 18782/2320-7051.6383.
- Patil, S., Bheemaraddi, M.C., Shivanavar, C.T., 2014. Biocontrol activity of siderophore producing *Bacillus subtilis* CTS-G24 against wilt and dry root rot causing fungi in chickpea. J. Vet. Agric. Sci. 7, 63–68.
- Pérez-García, A., Romero, D., de Vincente, A., 2011. Plant protection and growth stimulation by microorganisms: biotechnological applications of Bacilli in agriculture. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 22, 187–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2010.12.003.
- Phi, Q.T., Yu, M.P., Keyung-Jo, S., Choong-Min, R., Seung-Hwan, P., Jong-Guk, K., Sa-Youl, G., 2010. Assessment of root-associated *Paenibacillus polymyxa* groups on growth promotion and induced systemic resistance in pepper. J. Microb. Biotechnol. 20, 1605–1613.
- Pin-Ng, J.L., Perrine-Walker, F., Wasson, A.P., Mathesius, U., 2015. The control of auxin transport in parasitic and symbiotic root–microbe interactions. Plants 4, 606–643.
- Podile, A.R., Kishore, G.K., 2006. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. In: Gnanamanickaam, S.S. (Ed.), Plant-Associated Bacteria. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 230.
- Prashar, P., Kapoor, N., Sachdeva, S., 2013. Rhizosphere: its structure, bacterial diversity and significance. Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol. 13, 63–77.
- Przemieniecki, S.W., Kurowski, T.P., Damszel, M., Krawczyk, K., 2018. Effectiveness of the *Bacillus sp.* SP-A9 strain as a biological control agent for spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). J. Agric. Sci. Technol. 20, 609–619.
- Qureshi, M.A., Shakir, M., Naveed, M., Ahmad, J.M., 2009. Growth and Yield Response of Chickpea to Co-inoculation With *Mesorhizobium ciceri* and *Bacillus megaterium*.
- Rajendran, G., Sing, F., Desai, A.J., Archana, G., 2008. Bioresour Enhanced growth and nodulation of pigeon pea by co-inoculation of *Bacillus* strains with Rhizobium spp. Technology 99, 544–4550.
- Ramamoorthy, V., Raguchander, T., Samiyappan, R., 2002. Induction of defence related proteins in tomato roots treated with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf1 and *Fusarium* oxysporum f.sp.lYcopersici. Plant Soil 239, 55–68. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-015-1435-3.
- Ramesh, A., Sharma, S.K., Sharma, M.P., Yadav, N., Joshi, O.P., 2014. Inoculation of zinc solubilizing *Bacillus aryabhattai* strains for improved growth, mobilization and biofortification of zinc in soybean and wheat cultivated in vertisols of central India. Appl. Soil Ecol. 73, 87–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2013.08.009.
- Ramyabharathi, S.A., Raguchander, T., 2014. Efficacy of Secondary Metabolites Produced by *Bacillus subtilis* EPCO16 agaisnt Tomato Wilt Pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum*f. s. p. lycopersici. J. Mycol. Plant Pathol. 44, 148–153.
- Rani, M.U., Arundathi, Reddy, G., 2011. Bacillus cereus and Enterobacter cancerogenus screened for their efficient plant growth promoting traits rhizobacteria (PGPB) and antagonistic traits among sixteen bacterial isolates from rhizospheric soils of Pigeon Pea. Afr. J. Microbiol. Res. 2090–2094.
- Rayavarapu, V.G.B., Padmavathi, T., 2016. *Bacillus sp* as potential plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Int. J. Adv. Life Sci. 9, 29–36.
- Raza, W., Yousaf, S., Rajer, F.U., 2016. Plant growth promoting activity of volatile organic compounds produced by Bio-control strains. Sci. Lett. 4, 40–43.
- Reiss, A., Jørgensen, L.N., 2017. Biological control of yellow rust of wheat (*Puccinia striiformis*) with Serenade® ASO (*Bacillus subtilis strain QST713*). Crop Prot. 93, 1–8.
- Rezzonico, F., Zala, M., Keel, C., Duffy, B., Moenne-Loccoz, Y., Defago, G., 2007. Is the ability of biocontrol fluorescent pseudomonads to produce the antifungal metabolite 2, 4-iacetylphloroglucinol really synonymous with higher plant protection. New Phytol. 173, 861–872.
- Romero, D., de Vincente, A., Rakotoaly, R.H., Dufour, S.E., Veening, J.W., Arrebola, E., Caziola, F.M., Kuipers, O.P., Paquot, M., Pérez-García, A., 2007. The iturin and fengycin families of lipopeptides are key factors in antagonism of *Bacillus subtilis* towards Podosphaera fusca. Mol. Plant. Microbe Interact. 20, 430–440.
- Rosas-Garcia, N., 2009. Biopesticide production from *Bacillus thuringiensis*: an environmentally friendly alternative. Recent Pat. Biotechnol. 3, 28–36.
- Rosier, A., Medeiros, FlavioH.V., Bais, H.P., 2018. Defining plant growth promoting rhizobacteria molecular and biochemical networks in beneficial plant microbe interactions. Plant Soil 428, 35–55.
- Ruckert, C., Blom, J., Chen, X., Reva, O., Borris, R., 2011. Genome sequence of *B. amy-loliquefaciens*type strain DSM7(T) reveals differences to plant-associated*B. amyloli-quefaciens* FZB42. J. Biotechnol. 155, 78–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2011. 01.006.
- Rudrappa, T., Biedrzycki, M.L., Kunjeti, S.G., Donofrio, N.M., Czymmek, K.J., Pare, P.W., Bais, H.P., 2010. The rhizobacterial elicitor acetoin induces systemic resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana. Commun. Intergrative Biol. 3, 130–138.
- Ryu, C.M., Farag, M.A., Hu, C.H., Reddy, M.S., Wei, H.X., Kloepper, J.W., Pare, P.W., 2004. Bacterial volatiles induce systemic resistance in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 134, 1017–1026. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.026583.
- Sadfi, N., Cherif, M., Fliss, I., Boudabbous, A., Antoun, H., 2001. Evaluation of bacterial isolates from salty soils and *Bacillus thuringiensis* strains for the biocontrol of Fusarium dry rot of potato tubers. J. Plant Pathol. 83, 101–118.
- Saini, R., Dudeja, S.S., Giri, R., Kumar, V., 2013. Isolation, characterization, and evaluation of bacterial root and nodule endophytes from chickpea cultivated in Northern India. J. Basic Microbiol. 55, 74–81.

- Salomon, M.V., Bottini, R., de Souza, F.G.A., Cohen, A.C., Moreno, D., Gil, M., Piccoli, P., 2014. Bacteria isolated from roots and rhizosphere of *Vitis vinifera* retard water losses, induce abscisic acid accumulation and synthesis of defense-related terpenes in in vitro cultured grapevine. Physiol. Plant. 151, 359–374.
- Saraf, M., Pandya, U., Tahkkar, A., 2014. Role of allelochemicals in plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for biocontrol of phytopahtogens. Microbiol. Res. 19, 18–29.
- Sayyed, R.Z., Naphade, B.S., Chincholkar, S.B., 2005. Ecologically competent rhizobacteria for plant growth promotion and disease management. In: Rai, M.K., Chikhale, N.J., Thakare, P.V., Wadegaonkar, P.A., Ramteke, P.A. (Eds.), Recent Trends in Biotechnology. Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur, pp. 1–16.
- Sayyed, R.Z., Patel, D.C., Patel, P.R., 2007. Plant growth promoting potential of P solubilizing *Pseudomonas sp.* occurring in acidic soil of Jalgaon. Asian J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. Environ. Sci. 4, 925–928.
- Sayyed, R.Z., Patil, A.S., Gangurde, N.S., Bhamare, H.M., Joshi, S.A., Fulpagare, U.G., 2008. Siderophore producing *A. faecalis*: a potent biofungicide for the control of ground phytopathogens. Res. J. Biotechnol. 4, 411–413.
- Sayyed, R.Z., Reddy, M.S., Kumar, K.V., Yellareddygari, S.K.R., Deshmukh, A.M., Patel, P.R., Gangurde, N.S., 2012. Potential of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for sustainable agriculture. In: Maheshwari, D.K. (Ed.), Bacteria in Agrobiology: Plant Probiotics. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Schwartz, A.R., Ortiz, I., Maymon, M., Herbold, C.W., Fujishige, N.A., Vijanderan, J.A., Vellella, W., Hanamoto, K., Diener, A., Sanders, E.R., DeMason, D.A., Hirsch, A.M., 2013. Bacillus simplex—a little known PGPB with anti-fungal activity—alters pea legume root architecture and nodule morphology when coinoculated with Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. viciae. Agronomy 3, 595–620.
- Selvakumar, G., Kundu, S., Gupta, A.D., Shouche, Y.S., Gupta, H.S., 2008. Isolation and characterization of nonrhizobial plant growth promoting bacteria from nodules of Kudzu (*Pueraria thunbergiana*) and their effect on wheat seedling growth. Curr. Microbiol. 56, 134–139.
- Setiawati, T.C., Mutmainnah, L., 2016. Solubilization of Potassium containing mineral by microorganisms from sugarcane Rhizosphere. Agric. Agric. Sci. Procedia 9, 108–117.
- Shafi, J., Tian, H., Ji, M., 2017. Bacillus species as versatile weapons for plant pathogens: a review. Biotechnol. Bioteechnol. Equip. 31, 446–459. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 13102818.2017.1286950.
- Shahzad, R., Khan, A., Bilal, S., Waqas, M., Kang, S.M., Lee, I.J., 2017. Inoculation of abscisic acid-producing endophytic bacteria enhances salinity stress tolerance in Oryza sativa. Exp. Bot. 136, 68–77.
- Shahzad, R., Waqas, M., Khan, A., Asaf, S., Khan, M.S., Kang, S.M., 2016. Seed-borne endophytic *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* RWL-1 produces gibberellins and regulates endogenous phytohormones of *Oryza sativa*. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 106, 236–243.
- Shaikh, S., Sayyed, R.Z., 2015. Role of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and their formulation in biocontrol of plant diseases. In: Arora, N.K. (Ed.), Plant Microbes Symbiosis: Applied Facets. Springer, India, pp. 37–351.
- Sharaf-Eldin, M., Elkholy, S., Fernandez, J.A., Junge, H., Cheetham, R., Guardiola, J., Weathers, P., 2008. Bacillus subtilis FZB24 affects flower quantity and quality of Saffron (Crocus sativus). Planta Med. 74, 1316–1320. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2008-1081293.
- Sharma, S.B., Sayyed, R.Z., Trivedi, M.H., Gobi, T.A., 2013. Phosphate solubilizing microbes: sustainable approach for managing phosphorus deficiency in agricultural soils. SpringerPlus 2, 587. https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-2-587.
- Sharma, T., Kaul, S., Dhar, M.K., 2015. Diversity of culturable bacterial endophytes of saffron in Kashmir, India. SpringerPlus 4, 661.
- Silini-Cherif, H., Silini, A., Ghoul, M., Yadav, S., 2012. Isolation and characterization of plant growth promoting traits of a rhizobacteria: *Pantoea agglomerans* lma2. Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 15, 267–276.
- Singh, R.P., Jha, P.N., 2015. Molecular identification and characterization of rhizospheric bacteria for plant growth promoting ability. Int. J. Curr. Biotechnol. 3, 12–18.
- Sivasakthi, S., Usharani, G., Saranraj, P., 2014. Biocontrol potentiality of plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPR) -Pseudomonas fluorescens and Bacillus subtilis: a review. Afr. J. Agric. Res. 9, 265–1277.
- Sokolova, M.G., Akimova, G.P., Vaishlya, O.B., 2011. Effect of phytohormones synthesized by rhizosphere bacteria on plants. Appl. Biochem. Microbiol. 47, 302–307. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0003683811030148.
- Solanki, M.K., Singh, R.K., Srivastava, S., Kumar, S., Kashyap, P.L., Stivastava, A.K., Arora, D.K., 2014. Isolation and characterization of siderophore producing antagonistic rhizobacteria against Rhizoctonia solani. J. Basic Microbiol. 54, 585–597. https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.v54.6.
- Somers, E., Vanderleyden, J., Srinivasan, M., 2004. Rhizosphere bacterial signaling: a love parade beneath our feet. Crit. Rev. Microbiol. 30, 205–240.
- Souza, R., Ambrosini, A., Passaglia, L.M.P., 2015. Plant growth-promoting bacteria as inoculants in agricultural soils. Genet. Mol. Biol. 38, 401–419.
- Srivastava, L.M., 2002. Plant Growth and Development: Hormones and Environment. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Stajković, O., De Meyer, S., Miličić, B., Willems, A., Delić, D., 2009. Isolation and Characterization of Endophytic Non-rhizobial Bacteria From Root Nodules of Alfalfa (*Medicago Sativa* L.).
- Stamenkovic, S., Beskoski, V., Karabegovic, I., Lazic, M., Nikolic, N., 2018. Microbial fertilizers: a comprehensive review of current findings and future perspectives. Span. J. Agric. Res. 16, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2018161-12117.
- Stefan, M., Munteanu, N., Stoleru, V., Mihasan, M., Hritcu, L., 2013. Seed inoculation with plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria enhances photosynthesis and yield of runner bean (*Phaseolus coccineus* L.). Sci. Hortic. 151, 22–29. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.scienta.2012.12.006.
- Stein, T., 2005. Bacillus subtilis antibiotics: structures, syntheses and specific functions. Mol. Microbiol. 56, 845–857.
- Subramanian, P., Kim, K., Krishnamoorthy, R., Sundaram, S., Sa, T.M., 2015. Endophytic

bacteria improve nodule function and plant nitrogen in soybean on co-inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* MN110. Plant Growth Regul. 76, 327–332. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-014-9993-x.

- Surette, M.A., Sturz, A.V., Lada, R.R., Nowak, J., 2003. Bacterial endophytes in processing carrots (*Daucus carota L. var. sativus*): their localization, population density, biodiversity and their effects on plant growth. Plant Soil 253, 381–390.
- Szilagyi-Zecchin, V.J., Ikeda, A.C., Hungria, M., Adamoski, D., Kava-Cordeiro, V.K., Glienke, C., Galli-Terasawa, L.V., 2014. Identification and characterization of endophytic bacteria from corn (*Zea mays* L.) roots with biotechnological potential in agriculture. AMB Express 4, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-014-0026-y.
- Tabassum, B., Khan, A., Tariq, R., Razman, M., Muhammad, S., Khan, I., Shahid, N., Aaliya, K., 2017. Review Bottlenecks in commercialisation and future prospects of PGPR. Appl. Soil Ecol. 121, 107–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.09.030.
- Tan, S., Jiang, Y., Song, S., Hunag, J., Ling, N., Xu, Y., Shen, Q., 2013. Two Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strains isolated using the competitive tomato root enrichment method and their effects in suppressing *Ralstonia solanacearum* and promoting tomato plant growth. Crop Prot. 43, 134–140.
- Tang, Q., Puri, A., Padda, K.P., Chanway, C.P., 2017. Biological nitrogen fixation and plant growth promotion of lodgepole pine by an endophytic diazotroph *Paenibacillus polymyxa* and its GFP-tagged derivative. Botany 95, 611–619. https://doi.org/10. 1139/cjb-2016-0300.
- Tank, N., Rajendran, N., Patel, B., Saraf, M., 2012. Evaluation and biochemical characterization of a distinctive pyoverdin from a *Pseudomonas* isolated from chickpea rhizosphere. Braz. J. Microbiol. 639–648.
- Thilagavathi, R., Saravanakumar, D., Ragupathi, N., Samiyappan, R., 2007. A combination of biocontrol agents improves the management of dry root rot (Macrophomina phaseolina) in greengram. Phytopathol. Mediterrania 46, 157–167.
- Timmusk, S., Grantcharova, N., Wagner, E.G.H., 2005. Paenibacillus polymyxa invades plant roots and forms biofilms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71, 7292–7300.
- Tiwari, S., Singh, P., Tiwari, R., Meena, K.K., Yandigeri, M., Singh, D.P., Arora, D.K., 2011. Salt-tolerant rhizobacteria-mediated induced tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and chemical diversity in rhizosphere enhance plant growth. Biol. Fertil. Soils 47, 907–916.
- Trotel-Aziz, P., Couderchet, M., Biagianti, S., Aziz, A., 2008. Characterization of new bacterial biocontrol agents Acinetobacter, Bacillus, Pantoea and Pseudomonas spp. mediating grapevine resistance against Botrytis cinerea. Environ. Exp. Bot. 64, 21–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2007.12.009.
- Udayashankar, A., Nayaka, S.C., Reddy, M., Srinivas, C., 2011. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria mediate induced systemic resistance in rice against bacterial leaf blight caused by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae. Biol. Control 59, 114–122.
- Upadyay, S.K., Maurya, S.K., Singh, D.P., 2012. Salinity tolerance in free living plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria. Indian J. Sci. Res. 3, 73–78.
- Van Wees, S.C., Van der Ent, S., Pieterse, C.M.J., 2008. Plant immune responses triggered by beneficial microbes. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 11, 443–448.
- Vejan, P., Abdullah, R., Khadiran, T., Ismail, S., Boyce, A.N., 2016. Role of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in agricultural sustainability. Molecules 21, 5–17.
- Verma, J.P., Yadav, J., Tiwari, K.N., 2010. Application of *Rhizobium sp.* BHURC01 and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on nodulation, plant biomass and yields of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Int. J. Agric. Res. 5, 148–156.
- Vijayabharathi, R., Sathya, A., Gopalakrishnan, S., 2016. A Renaissance in plant growthpromoting and biocontrol agents by endophytes. In: Singh, D.P., Singh, H.B., Prabha, R. (Eds.), Microbial Inoculants in Sustainable Agriculture Productivity. Springer, New Delhi, pp, pp. 37–61.
- Wang, N., Liu, M., Guo, L., Yang, X., Qiu, D., 2016. A novel protein elicitor (PeBA1) from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* NC6 induces systemic resistance in tobacco. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 12, 757–767.
- Wang, T., Liu, M., Li, H., 2014. Inoculation of phosphate solubilizing bacteria Bacillus

*thuringiensis* B1 increases available phosphorus and growth of peanut in acidic soil. Acta Agric. Scand. 64, 252–259.

Wani, P.A., Khan, M.S., 2010. Bacillus species enhance growth parameters of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in chromium stressed soils. Food Chem. Toxicol. 48, 3262–3267.

- Wei, X.L., Lin, Y.B., Xu, L., Han, M.S., Dong, D.H., Chen, W.M., Wei, G.H., 2015. Bacillus radicibacter sp. nov., a new bacterium isolated from root nodule of Oxytropis ochrocephala Bunge. J. Basic Microbiol. 55, 1212–1218. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jobm.201400940.
- Wei, Z., Yang, X., Ying, S., Shen, Q., Ran, W., Xu, Y., 2011. Efficacy of *Bacillus*-fortified organic fertiliser in controlling bacterial wilt of tomato in the field. Appl. Soil Ecol. 48, 152–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.03.013.
- Wei-wei, L.I.U., Wei, M.U., Bing-Yu, Z.H.U., You-Chen, D.U., Feng, L.I.U., 2008. Antagonistic activities of volatiles from four strains of *Bacillus spp*. and *Paenibacillus spp*. against soil-borne plant pathogens. Agric. Sci. China 7, 1104–1114. https://doi. org/10.1016/S1671-2927(08)60153-4.
- Wiesel, L., Newton, A.C., Elliott, I., Booty, D., Birch, P.R.J., Hein, I., 2014. Molecular effects of resistance elicitors from biological origin and their potential for crop protection. Front. Plant Sci. 5, 655. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00655.
- Wu, Y., Zhao, C., Farmer, M.J., Sun, J., 2015. Effects of bio-organid fertilizer on pepper growth and *Fusarium* will biocontrol. Sci. Hortic. 193, 114–120. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.scienta.2015.06.039.
- Xie, G.H., Su, B.L., Cui, Z.J., 1998. Isolation and identification of N2-fixing strains of Bacillus in rice rhizosphere of the Yangtze River valley. Acta Microbiol. Sin. 38, 480–483.
- Yu, G.Y., Sinclair, J.B., Hartman, G.L., Beragnolli, B.L., 2002. Production of iturin A by B. amyloliquefaciens suppressing R. Solani. Soil Biol. Biochem. 34, 955–963.
- Yu, Y., Chu, X., Pang, G., Xiang, Y., Fang, H., 2009. Effects of repeated applications of fungicide carbendazim on its persistence and microbial community in soil. J. Environ. Sci. 21, 179–185.
- Zhang, N., Wu, K., He, X., Li, S.Q., Zhang, Z.H., Shen, B., Yang, X.M., Zhang, R.F., Huang, Q.W., Shen, Q.R., 2011. A new bioorganic fertilizer can effectively control banana wilt by strong colonization with *Bacillus subtilis* N11. Plant Soil 344, 87–97.
- Zhang, X., Zhang, R., Gao, J., Wang, X., Fan, F., Ma, X., 2017. Thirty-one years of ricerice-green manure rotations shape the rhizosphere microbial community and enrich beneficial bacteria. Soil Biol. Biochem. 104, 208–217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. soilbio.2016.10.023.
- Zhao, L., Xu, Y., Lai, X.H., 2018. Antagonistic endophytic bacteria associated with nodules of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) and plant growth-promoting properties. Braz. J. Microbiol. 49, 269–278.
- Zhao, L., Xu, Y., Lai, X.H., Shan, C., Deng, Z., Ji, Y., 2015. Screening and characterization of endophytic *Bacillus* and *Paenibacillus* strains from medicinal plant Lonicera japonica for use as potential plant growth promoters. Braz. J. Microbiol. 46, 977–989. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-838246420140024.
- Zhao, Q., Shen, Q., Ran, W., Xiao, T., Xu, D., Xu, Y., 2011. Inoculation of soil by *Bacillus subtilis* Y-IVI improves plant growth and colonization of the rhizosphere and interior tissues of muskmelon (*Cucumis melo L.*). Biol. Fertil. Soils 47, 507–514.
- Zheng, X.Y., Sinclair, J.B., 2000. The effects of traits of *Bacillus megaterium* on seedand root colonization and their correlation with the suppression of *Rhizoctonia* root rot of soybean. BioControl 45 (223). https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009998304177.
- Zhou, C., Guo, J., Zhu, L., Xiao, X., Xie, Y., Zhu, J., Ma, Z., Wang, J., 2016. Paenibacillus polymyxa BFKC01 enhances plant iron absorption via improved root systems and activated iron acquisition mechanisms. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 105, 162–173.
- Zhou, C., Zhu, L., Xie, Y., Li, F., Xiao, X., Ma, Z., Wang, J., 2017. Bacillus licheniformis SA03 confers increased saline-alkaline tolerance in Chrysanthemum plants by induction of abscisic acid accumulation. Front. Plant Sci. 8, 1143. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fpls.2017.01143.